

4-1-1887

Volume 05, Number 04 (April 1887)

Theodore Presser

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.gardner-webb.edu/etude>



Part of the [Composition Commons](#), [Ethnomusicology Commons](#), [Fine Arts Commons](#), [History Commons](#), [Liturgy and Worship Commons](#), [Music Education Commons](#), [Musicology Commons](#), [Music Pedagogy Commons](#), [Music Performance Commons](#), [Music Practice Commons](#), and the [Music Theory Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Presser, Theodore. "Volume 05, Number 04 (April 1887).", (1887). <https://digitalcommons.gardner-webb.edu/etude/304>

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the John R. Dover Memorial Library at Digital Commons @ Gardner-Webb University. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Etude Magazine: 1883-1957 by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Gardner-Webb University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@gardner-webb.edu.

THE ETUDE.

VOL. V.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., APRIL, 1887.

NO. 4.

THE ETUDE.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., APRIL, 1887.

A Monthly Publication for Teachers and Students of the Piano-forte.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES, \$1.50 PER YEAR (payable in advance).

Single Copy, 15 cents.

The courts have decided that all subscribers to newspapers are held responsible until arrears are paid and their papers are ordered to be discontinued.

THEODORE PRESSER,
1704 Chestnut Street. PHILADELPHIA, PA.

EDITORS.

W. S. R. MATHEWS, JOHN S. VAN CLEVE,
JOHN C. FILLMORE, JAMES HUNSEKER,
D. DE F. BRYANT.

Managing Editor, Advertising Manager,
THEODORE PRESSER. N. ALLEN STOCKTON.
(Entered at Philadelphia Post Office as Second-class Matter.)

LESSONS IN MUSICAL HISTORY.

CHAPTER IV.—CONTINUED.

J. C. FILLMORE.

WHAT ALL THIS HAS TO DO WITH MUSICAL HISTORY.

THE invention of the Opera, one of the most important, decisive and productive events in musical history, was part and parcel of this great intellectual movement. It is one of the great turning-points in the development of modern music; it changed the whole course of musical history. But it might never have happened at all if the revival of Greek letters had not come just as it did. The invention of opera was the direct result of attempts on the part of a few enthusiastic lovers of the Greek literature to revive the Greek drama.

It happened in the very last decade of the sixteenth century, about a hundred and forty years after the taking of Constantinople, when the laiden of ancient Greek art and literature had had time to leaven thoroughly the whole mass of Italian intellect and to permeate all Italian culture. It happened in Florence, under the reign of the art-loving family of Medici, who made their capital for a long time one of the most important intellectual centres of Europe.

There was a little knot of enthusiasts, some of them artists, all of them men of culture, the best culture of their time, who used to meet at the house of Count Bardi to discuss art, literature and all intellectual matters in which they were interested. They called their society the "Camerata." Among them was a name ever since known all over the civilized world, Vincenzo Galilei, father of the great astronomer, Galileo Galilei. Among other matters, they read and discussed the dramas of Æschylus, Euripides and Sophocles, not only as literature, but as productions for the stage, the conditions under which they were performed, the ideas of life they embody; in short, everything connected with them. Finally, it occurred to some of them to ask "Why cannot this great form of Art be revived?" Why cannot we do what the old Greeks did? The suggestion at once excited unbounded enthusiasm, and ways and means were eagerly discussed. It was known that the ancient drama was not spoken, but sung. The

principal characters used a sort of chant with an accompaniment of the lyre, and the choruses were also sung. But when the members of the *Camerata* came to consider the musical resources of their own time they found nothing available for the dramatic needs of soloists. The chorus was amply provided for, for the whole culture-music of the time was polyphonic. They were just at the very culmination of the great epoch of polyphonic music, of which the Netherlands were the most conspicuous representatives,—the epoch which, beginning with Dufay, had developed polyphonic writing on the technical and intellectual side, and had culminated in the highly emotional, spiritual and imaginative, as well as highly intellectual, compositions of Palestrina and Orlandus Lassus. The secular element, the Madrigal, was as purely polyphonic as the Masses of the period.

How should the soloists be provided for? This was the problem the members of the *Camerata* set themselves to solve. The first fruits of this endeavor were produced by Galilei, who wrote a number of songs for solo voice and sang them to his assembled comrades, accompanying himself on the viola. Everybody applauded with eager enthusiasm, and now others of the society took up the matter. Some of them were musicians by profession, and one of them, Giulio Caccini, declared war upon counterpoint as a "mere butchery of poetry," affirmed that he had learned more of the true function of music in the *Camerata* than in all his thirty years' study of counterpoint, and vowed henceforth to devote all his talents, skill and acquired musical knowledge to the service of the new ideas. He was, of course, much better equipped for such a task than was Galilei, who was only an amateur, and the solos he wrote, on the model of Galilei's, fairly ushered in the new era of monophonic song with instrumental accompaniment.

Opera was now possible, for the air would serve to express the emotions of the principal characters, while the chorus served to express those of several persons who needed to sing together. But an aria (air) involves sustained intensity of feeling for a certain length of time, whereas there are in a drama many transient emotions, many more suggestions of feeling, besides more or less dialogue, for which sustained solo singing is not adapted.—at least, not in the form of the aria. These parts might, of course, have been spoken. But Jacopo Peri, another of the *Camerata* set, still with the notion of Greek drama in his head, all of which was sung, hit upon the *Recitative*, a style so well adapted to its purpose that it has retained its place to the present day, and seems unlikely ever to be superseded. It is a sort of compromise between song and speech, a sort of impassioned declamation, partaking of the nature of both.

With this invention the means of producing music-dramas were fully completed, and Peri was the man who produced the first opera. He was a professional musician, a singer and an organist, amply qualified for the work he had undertaken, and his first opera, "Dafne," met with the most cordial reception in the *Camerata*. The words were by Rinuccini, who also belonged to the society. The success of their first work encouraged them to write another, and this one, "Euridyce," was publicly performed at the wedding of Henry IV. of France with Mary of Medici in Florence, in the year 1600.

It constitutes one of the turning points of history. At the very opening of the seventeenth

century, just when the elaborate polyphony of the Netherland school was at the height of its supremacy, came this new phenomenon, and behold, all of a sudden, the whole face of the musical world is changed. In France, in Germany, in England, no less than in Italy, kings, princes and noblemen took up the new form of art, and from that day to this it has been developing. It is a long way from Peri's "Dafne" to Wagner's "Tristan and Isolde," but the germs of the latter were in the former.

QUESTIONS ON CHAPTER IV.

Give some account of the intellectual condition of Europe in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. When did the art of printing begin to exert a powerful influence? What was the effect of it? What effect did the use of gunpowder produce on the mental life of Europe? When did this effect begin to be felt? Give date of the conquest of Constantinople by the Turks. What effect had this event on the intellectual life of Italy? What do you understand by the Renaissance? What has all this to do with the History of Music? Tell what you know of the Florentine "Camerata." Which of its members first wrote songs for a single voice, with instrumental accompaniment? What professional musician followed this up? Who wrote the first opera? Who invented recitatives? What is recitative? What opera was first publicly performed? When and where? Who wrote it?

CHAPTER V.

THE BEGINNING OF ORATORIO, 1600.

Opera, as we saw in the last chapter, grew out of an attempt on the part of enthusiastic lovers of art and literature to revive the Greek drama. It was one of the fruits of the Revival of Learning, a great intellectual movement which, beginning in Italy, communicated its impulse to the whole European world, and largely determined the course of mental development and of Western civilization from that time to the present. Oratorio, on the other hand, was an outgrowth of the Church. But it was, no less than the opera, distinctly dramatic in its origin.

As soon as the Church had got far enough from the corrupt Roman theatrical spectacles, which it had to condemn in the first few centuries of its existence, to be in no danger from the remembrance of their demoralizing influences, it began to feel the need of attracting and influencing its proselytes by some means other than its ordinary liturgy and its preaching. The common people could neither read nor write. They were not only illiterate, but ignorant. They could not read the Scriptures for themselves, and if they could have done so, the Church authorities would have opposed it, preferring to be themselves the sole medium, not only of the exposition, but of the ceremony, of Holy Writ to the laity.

The clergy, recognizing the fact that an ignorant laity were more likely to be impressed by sensuous elements in the liturgy than by those more purely spiritual or intellectual, soon began to introduce into the church services a semi-dramatic treatment of gospel readings. One priest recited the sayings of Jesus, another those of the Evangelist, while the utterances of the disciples and of the populace were sung by the choir. After a while, poems were introduced among the settings of the gospel text, especially in Passion week, and took their place in the choir beside the other Passion music. The dramatic element became more and more prominent, and by and by it was separated from the liturgy. The priests gave dramatic representations in the churches for the amusement and instruction of their parishioners.

These sacred plays were divided into *Mysterien*, which treated such mysterious themes as Sin, Redemption, etc.; *Moralities*, in which personifications of the Virtues and Vices were the characters of the drama, and *Miracle-plays*, which dealt with Scripture stories and with the legends of the saints. In these dramatic representations in the churches, no women were allowed to take part. The priests were the only actors, taking female as well as male parts. They represented such characters as God, Christ, Mary, the angels, etc., and they succeeded in making the plays very popular. The churches used to be crowded, and these plays were given so frequently that they formed a chief amusement of the common people, as well as their sole means of Biblical instruction.

After a while the churches could not contain the vast audiences which thronged to hear and see the sacred plays, and then they were taken into the open air. Temporary stages of great size were erected in market places and in other open spaces. Sometimes hundreds of actors took part, and a series of representations, lasting for several days, would be witnessed by many thousands of people. Laymen, as well as priests, took part in them, and secular elements of a popular character were mingled with those distinctively sacred. As was natural, considering the unrefined state of the common mind, these secular elements were often exceedingly coarse, consisting of rude jests, and, in great part, of a jocular treatment of the devil. Old Nick was, indeed, a most popular character. He was treated not so much as the impersonation of evil, as a foolish clown, whose attempts at harm were always foiled, and who invariably came to grief in some ridiculous, farcical way. The great stages on which the plays were performed were often divided into three parts. The uppermost represented Heaven, the middle one the Earth and the lowermost Hell. Even in our day there is a survival of these miracle-plays in the Passion Play still given every ten years at Ober-Ammergau, in Bavaria.

With the admixture of secular elements and the admission of strolling actors and minstrels as performers, the plays grew more and more profane, until at last the coarsest and most scandalous jests and songs became a prominent feature. These low elements even invaded the churches. At the "Fools' Festival," a sort of Christian revival of the Roman Saturnalia, the churches were the scenes of indescribably coarse revelry. A "Fool-Bishop" celebrated a burlesque mass; the censers were filled with pieces of old boot-leather, which filled the church with an intolerable stench; dice were cast and cards played on the altar; the priest invoked coarse maledictions instead of blessings on the congregation; in short, all sacred ideas and rites were parodied in the most outrageously profane way.

The "Feast of the Ass" was little better. It commemorated the flight of Joseph and Mary into Egypt. An ass, dressed in a monk's costume, was led into the church, the priest intoned the Latin hymn, "Orientis partibus," closing each verse with an imitation of the ass's braying, to which the whole congregation responded with an uproarious hoo-haw!

This sort of profanation could not, of course, be tolerated long, and the Church authorities frowned it down. But, while the outdoor performances continued to deal more or less in low elements, there were, in at least one place, purified continuations of the original miracle-plays, etc., in sacred places. This was in the "*Oratorio*" (the Italian name for chapel, or, as we sometimes say, *oratory*; properly, a room for prayer) of a church in Rome, where *St. Philip Neri* was a priest. In this "*oratorio*" he used to preach, and in order to attract the young people, he used to have, at first, a good deal of singing before and after the sermon. Then he wrote simple dramatizations of various Scripture stories, in one act, had them set to music by Animuccia, director of music in the Papal chapel,

and gave one before the sermon and one after it. Palestrina afterward wrote some of the music for these little chapel or "*oratorio*" plays. Neri's plan proved very successful in attracting the audiences he wished, especially as they were mostly given in Lent, when secular amusements were prohibited. Whether his sermons were popular or not, his musical plays were very much so. Since they were given exclusively in his "*oratorio*," to go to hear them was to go to the "*oratorio*," and this name has ever since been applied to that form of sacred musical art which grew out of his idea.

The piece which is accounted the first real *oratorio*, probably because it was long enough to take up a whole evening, instead of being a mere prelude or postlude to a sermon, was simply a *Morality*, written by a lady—Laura Guidiccioni!—and set to music by Emilio del Cavaliere. It was given at Rome, probably in St. Philip Neri's chapel, in the year 1600, the very same year in which the first opera was given at Florence. It was called "*The Representation of the Soul and the Body*." Among the solo characters were *Time*, *Pleasure*, *the World*, *Human Life*, etc. These last three were gayly and richly dressed at first, and afterward were to become poor and wretched, and finally to die. There was a chorus and orchestra, the whole was acted, and the performance closed with a ballet, to music sung by the chorus. The stage directions require that it be danced "sedately and reverentially."

Thus we see that the early *oratorio* differed very little in principle from the early opera. Both were dramas, both employed much the same musical means, solos, chorus and orchestra, both were acted, both admitted the ballet. But the one had a distinctively moral and religious aim, while the other had not. So that, while the forms of the *oratorio* were influenced greatly by those of the opera, its different aim and purpose gradually brought about the real distinction which exists to-day between the two species. *Oratorio* ceased to be acted, excluded dancing, and admitted only serious and devout music.

QUESTIONS ON CHAPTER V.

Did opera and *oratorio* have their origin in the same intellectual movement? What was the movement which finally gave rise to the *oratorio*? Why did the clergy introduce a dramatic treatment of Scripture readings into the service? Describe the growth of this tendency. Tell the difference between *Mysterien*, *Moralities*, and *Miracle-plays*. Describe the process by which the plays degenerated. Describe the "Fools' Festival" and the "Feast of the Ass." Who was St. Philip Neri? What means did he take to interest his congregation? Where were his plays given? What does the word "*oratorio*" mean? How came it to be applied to a form of musical art? Who wrote the first *oratorio*, and when? Tell what you know of it. Give the points of resemblance between the early opera and *oratorio*. Show the lines on which they afterward diverged.

(To be Continued.)

EXPRESSION IN PIANO PLAYING.

Music has been termed a higher form of language. In this respect it does not materially differ from other arts, for, as Ruskin says: "*Art is nothing but a noble and expressive language, invaluable as the vehicle of thought, but by itself nothing.*"

Music has been also qualified as the language of the emotions. While this is doubtless true, yet the definition of it is too narrow, and apt to be misleading. For music is more than a language of the emotions; it appeals as strongly to the intellect as any other art, and, indeed, popular misconception is to regard it entirely aside from all its intellectual requirements.

Musical thought is a very mysterious, misty, vague, indefinite matter, and very few out of the profession, and, indeed, but few within it, have a perfectly clear idea on the subject. The question is, if music expresses anything, What does it say?

It is a very common error to suppose that music is descriptive of events, as words are, and composers of such pieces as "*The Storm*" and "*Battle of Prague*," etc., are greatly responsible for misleading the public in this

manner. Music never describes definitely. That is why the common, uneducated people prefer the song to instrumental music, and why they sneer at Italian and German opera. They are after the sentiment of the poetry, and have not learned to fathom the meaning of music itself. The only elements of a piano-forte recital that arrest the attention of the uneducated person are the rhythm and the mechanism, and since the same elements can be enjoyed in witnessing an expert dancer or juggler, really, then, the uneducated enjoy nothing of what is to be enjoyed in music properly.

What ideas are then expressed, in music, to the educated?

Ruskin mentions five ideas that can emanate from any work of art:—

I. *Ideas of Power*—the perception or conception of the mental or bodily powers by which the work is produced.

II. *Ideas of Imitation*—the perception that the thing produced resembles something else.

III. *Ideas of Truth*—the perception of faithfulness in a statement of facts by the thing produced.

IV. *Ideas of Beauty*—the perception of beauty in the thing produced or in what it suggests or resembles.

V. *Ideas of Relation*—the perception of intellectual relations in the thing produced or in what it suggests or resembles.

It may be laid down as a fact that the true beginning of musical conception is in the perception of musical form. And the more clearly the form can be perceived the higher will be the conception of the musical subject.

The great principle underlying musical form is accent. Accent is to music precisely what shade is to a picture. Without accent music is a hum of the electric wires, the undeveloped negative of a photograph.

To understand the relation of accents to music it will be necessary to pause a moment and consider their psychological significance.

All emotions have their periods of activity and of rest, and these periods are represented in music by accent, which is really nothing more than a momentary cessation of the rhythmic impulse occurring at regular intervals.

As the emotional periods in the physical nature correspond respectively to pain and pleasure, so in the rhythmic structure of music we find alternate periods of movement and of rest; the former expressing the active and (logically) the painful phase of the emotion and the latter the restful or pleasurable part.

For this reason, too, discords or the darker shades of harmonic coloring are normally placed, not on the accented, but in the unaccented part of the measure, while on the accent or momentary rhythmic rest occurs a pure consonance.

This is in accordance with physical life, which contains a superabundance of pain and but few moments of unalloyed pleasure.

When music is thus arranged we have a picture of an evenly balanced, purely prosaic and non-intellectual life. Such music is pleasing to the non-intellectual.

By reversing in some measure the physical law of accents, and of the situation of consonant and dissonant notes, we transport the character of the music into the intellectual realm, where activity, ceaseless activity, alone is the purest, keenest enjoyment. No other instrument (unless it is the harp or the banjo) is so lacking in tone, sustaining and tone-shading power as the piano, by reason of the *sforzando* character of its tones. In this respect it is as an instrument inferior to the organ, the violin or the voice; but the very fact that it possesses the condition of such fine and instantaneous discriminative tone-emphasis renders it actually superior to the organ, and from its capability of representing an entire orchestra it is superior to either voice or violin alone. Christian distinguishes two classes of accents:—

1. Grammatical accents are those making prominent one out of two or two out of three parts, in rhythmic division, and

2. Characteristic accents, those making prominent certain characteristic points or rhythmic peculiarities.

He further subdivides these into—

(a) Positive when given on the strong part of the rhythmic division, and

(b) Negative when given on a weak part of the rhythmic division.

The rule for positive grammatical accentuation is to emphasize the first of every group of two or three tones, according as the rhythm is duple or triple.

And right here is where the matter of teaching expression should begin. Nothing so soon lays the foundation for musical conceptions and taste as the early study of discriminative emphasis. As soon as a child has a proper notion of how to produce a correct tone it should be taught to make a distinction in the emphasis on two or three successive tones. Every five-finger exercise, scale and arpeggio, should be played in rhythm, in a manner exemplified in many of our later works on technic, notably in Mason's "Piano-forte Technics."

By this method of grouping tones and continually throwing the accents further and further apart, the mechanism is not only being cultivated, but is being idealized, so to speak, at the same time, and thus rendered serviceable for actual practice afterwards.

The negative accent is the syncopation, or where the accent is misplaced, thrown forward to the tone which would be grammatically unaccented.

The grammatical or normal rhythm in such a case must be preserved by at least one voice, usually the bass, which maintains the regular accent in spite of the syncopation. If all voices should syncopate simultaneously the position of the normal accent would soon be changed.

Another phase of negative accents is the removed accent, which takes place continually at the beginning of phrases. And now we come to an interesting and vital department of piano-forte expression, and that is the subject of phrasing.

What is a phrase?

Rhythmically, it is a little group of notes indicated by a slur.

Metrically, it is from four to eight measures—a half sentence. Either the thesis (question) or the antithesis answer.

The recognition of rhythmic phrases (in correctly slurred editions) is merely a following of the slurs.

The first and last tone of the phrase is to be slightly emphasized, not regardless of its position in the measure, for the heaviest accent must be the one coinciding with the grammatical accent of the measure. The notes within the phrase are played legato, and the last tone is dropped staccato, thus disconnecting it from what follows, and giving it an individuality.

This primary principle is inculcated by practicing the famous two-finger exercise of Liszt, which affords a good study in contrasted touches, and is an excellent technical study as well!

The recognition of metrical phrases imperatively demands a knowledge of harmony and counterpoint. The normal classic period consists of eight bars and the phrase of half that number; but the more modern music, for variety, contains periods of 10, 12, 16, and even 17 bars, so that the recognition of period and phrase formations becomes a purely intellectual matter, and is, I may add, of the highest importance. If the average student would be willing to spend one hour per day in periodizing and phrasing, which, of course, could only be done after proper preliminary studies and under a competent teacher, and would then play intelligently what he had analyzed for another hour, making two hours' daily study, he might in three years become an artist; whereas if he merely persisted in reading the notes and playing them by the yard, ten hours per day, he would be ignobly doomed to be a piano thrasher of the worst type.

Another subject equally important with phrasing is that of subordinating the accompaniment to the melody. Time was when melody accompanied melody, like a lot of people all jabbering together, each talking equally loud and prominent; that was polyphony in Bach's time. Now, music is chiefly homophonic; Melody is queen and Harmony throws flowers in her pathway. Music is now chiefly of the lyric character. The song element pervades all composition, and even if it is thematic.

To be sure, an ascending run is not always crescendoed, for more than the first note of a measure is always accented; but naturally it is so.

Witness an altercation on the street. The words become louder and louder and the pitch of voice rises instinctively till the contestants fairly shriek with rage; that is crescendo; an excited crowd gather around and a blow is struck; that is sforzando; then comes a momentary pause—a stagger to recover; that is the suspension that marks the climax; now comes along a man in blue and brass, with a coat of arms and a club, and quietly walks away with the two Sullivans; that is diminuendo; and if we had time we might trace it to the *calando* or *calaboose*, but had better give it a rest, and proceed to say that in all these departments of piano-forte expression a pupil is to be guided not at all by his emotion, but by his judgment, trained by study, observation and experience. Some great artist, I think it was no less than Petersilea, said that the requirements of the artist were: 1st, feeling; 2d, feeling; and 3d, feeling. We would make transmutations of a few small motives, yet the melody or theme is there, and it must be made prominent and observable by proper and discriminative emphasis. Indeed, the first thing to do, almost, in studying a new composition, is to find the tune and then to bring it out. This particular study in touch should begin with simple exercises on five notes. One hand should play a firm, even legato while the other plays softly staccato. A great fault to guard against here, and one I have had to correct in hundreds of pupils, is in permitting the left to strike an instant ahead of the right, producing a disagreeable rubato or syncop effect. At this point should come regular studies in shading. Every pupil can establish a "ff," a "pp," and then a point between an "m"; every pupil can learn to play an ascending scale crescendo and a descending one diminuendo, and still preserve the regular grammatical accents, in which he should now be well grounded. And this will cultivate his dynamic resources and establish normal principles. The requirements: 1st. Talent. 2d. Cultivation. 3d. Feeling.

Feeling, or individual emotion, is the last and finishing element to throw into a composition. It is the tuning of the picture. The form itself must be studied, measured out. Nor should personal feeling or emotion ever obtrude itself, to the disfigurement of the composer's ideal. The true artist is an interpreter not a creator. As Chopin angrily said to Liszt one evening, after Liszt had improvised and arabasque on Chopin's themes: "Mr. Liszt, if you condescend to play Chopin, play it as Chopin wrote it."

One—at least this is true all the way from the student to the finished artist—one should not take liberties with a composition; but having, as nearly as possible, studied out the composer's ideal, endeavor to represent it faithfully. In this way, and in this alone, can he subserve the highest ends of art and win the proud distinction of artist.

D. DE F. B.

We publish this month an article from a valued correspondent in reply to strictures on the character of Liszt which have heretofore appeared in THE ETUDE. We take no responsibility for the opinions expressed in the article. Our readers must judge for themselves of their soundness. It may be well, however, to call the writer's attention to the fact that some of his philosophical positions are thought wholly untenable by eminent thinkers on musical subjects. For example, it is widely held that music has an intrinsic emotional character of its own, and that it is this quality which makes certain music fit to be sung with certain words, while certain other music is unfit. The facts he cites as to the different effects produced with the same melody by a change of tempo are entirely consistent with this position. Tempo is an essential element in emotional character and expression.

We have no sympathy whatever with the bigotry which condemns all new discoveries of musical means by composers of genius. We are fully persuaded, for example, that Wagner is one of the greatest minds of our time, if not of all time. That Liszt is to take his place beside him as a great universal creative genius, we must be permitted to doubt. Moreover, as to the question of bigotry, we suggest that it is quite possible to show that quality in one-sided worship of others than the classicists.

A TALK ABOUT SOME YOUNG PIANISTS.

THE number of good pianists in America is increasing every year. A fresh influx from abroad occurs at the beginning of each season, and then our home-made product is steadily waxing greater; so that, to really achieve eminence, one must be possessed of rare qualifications to outshine the rest. In a word, the standard is becoming higher every day, and mere virtuosity fails to interest as it did of yore. A pianist now, in addition to nimble fingers, must also have a large share of interpretative powers, a good memory and must not suppose, because he or she can play some operatic fantasia, that he has entered the portals of pianism.

Out of the vast array of names that suggest themselves, one is tempted by all sorts and varieties of talents. Mills, Mason, Sherwood, in New York; Faelten, Baermann, Petersilea, in Boston; Jarvis and Stankowitch, in Philadelphia; Cecilia Gaul, Magrath, Doerner, in Cincinnati; Boscovitz, Wolfsohn, Liebling, Seeboeck and Hylstedt, in Chicago: the list is overwhelming. All are artists splendidly equipped for their profession. But there are some half dozen names that naturally occur to one as representing the very some of the art of piano-playing, at least on this side of the Atlantic,—foreign artists, who have taken up their abode in America, and who, when their youth is taken into consideration, seem to promise so much for the future.

Four sterling artists, Madeleine Schiller, Madame Carrene, Mrs. Morgan and Julie Rivé King, have been some time before the public, but the quartette to be spoken of, are, with one exception, comparatively newcomers. Their names are Madame Fanny Bloomfield, Miss Adele Aus der Ohe, Rafael Joseffy and Emanuel Moor. Place aux dames.

Fanny Bloomfield (now Madame Zeisler) studied with Wolfsohn, of Chicago and Leschetitski, Essipoff's husband, and on her first appearance in New York she took the town by storm. There was something rare in pianism, particularly from a woman. Breadth, color, fire, variety of tonal shading and an intensity of attack that was positively *enthusiastic*. Later appearances only confirmed critical opinions that in Madame Bloomfield all the qualities that go toward making a great player were present. Crudities of style and conception there were abundant traces of, but time is fast mellowing them, and her noble sonorous touch in cantabile playing is one of the most delightful things to listen to. It is violin playing on the piano. Her playing of the Rubinstein D minor concerto can never be forgotten by the writer. The vehemence of the attack (like a stealthy panther lying in wait for its prey), the *clan* of the last movement, and then the sensuousness of tone in the romance will long linger as a musical memory of the most precious sort.

Miss Adele Aus der Ohe is a new-comer. She is a pupil of Liszt, and made her American *début* in Steinway Hall under the baton of Anton Seidl. At the first octave passage in the Liszt E flat concerto, the audience instantly became aware that they were in the presence of a thorough artist, and the enthusiasm reached a fever heat after her striking performance of the E major polonaise, of the same composer as an *encore*. Technically, Miss Aus der Ohe need bow to but one—Rafael Joseffy; and this was made manifest at her second appearance in the Chopin E minor concerto. Here the absolute tonal purity and general command of technical resources were gratifyingly apparent. Such a commanding tone and masculine breadth. In fact, if fault can be found it is the *défaüt de ses qualités*, as the French say, a certain hardness, not, however, always present, and a feeling that a trifle more reverence would enhance the many fine points in her style. Miss Adele Aus der Ohe is still young and will mature into a very great artist.

What can be said of Rafael Joseffy that has not been already said? We are getting so hypercritical that we have, at least in Joseffy's case, to talk about what he cannot do rather than what he has so superlatively done. Joseffy's career in America has been one series of triumphs; his playing is flawless; his powers steadily

growing, and yet some would have the exquisite Meissonier transformed into a Munkacy. It has been the fashion of late, among certain critics, to either totally ignore Joseffy's playing altogether or to grumble about its lack of breadth, a coldness of conception and other spots on the sun. Joseffy is a miniature painter; his special *genre* is a small canvas, but what amazing beauty of detail, what pearly coloring and what lovely shading from the whispering pianissimo to a forte. The rugged fortissimo, the thunder of the crags he does not possess, but rather, like the smiling valley, sun-flooded and peaceful, his playing fills us with the delicious sense of well being. What if he does not play Beethoven as Rubinstein, he can play Chopin like a dream. His style is constantly broadening, but the finesse is never wanting, and it is always the same Joseffy. A crystalline touch and a technique that is absolutely burnished, so glittering and polished is it. Joseffy is, in the main, imitatable; he is at once the despair and delight of students. Can one ever forget his playing of that little piece of virtuosity at a white heat, his concert transcription of the Chopin D flat Valse? He is unique among pianists, and has not his equal to-day in the musical world, with, perhaps, the exception of Valdimir de Pachmann. One of the rarest gifts in an interpretative artist is the almost magical faculty of creating anew the composition he plays. This power is found but seldom. Liszt had it; Thalberg lacked it absolutely; Rubinstein possesses it in abundance; Von Bülow vainly endeavors to impart it to his own studied style and fails. Both Tausig and D'Albert own the precious talent, and so seldom is it seen that it has become to most of us but an exaggerated tradition. A young Hungarian pianist, Mr. Emanuel Moor, whose every appearance is provocative of the widest varieties of criticism, has this gift. He compels the music under his fingers to assume new shapes altogether; they are re-created. It is not interpretation, it is the genius of the composition being played, that presents itself to us. Mr. Moor's playing is so penetrated with the hues of the orchestra as to make one forget it is mere black and white piano playing. He has an enormous technique and his single finger tone is the finest the writer has ever listened to. Probably, because Emanuel Moor is the talented composer he is, his playing reflects the creative power so wonderfully. Here we have everything unstudied, nothing cut and dried, but, like an improvisation, a Beethoven sonata, a Chopin ballade or Valse, springs into existence. It is living, vital music, and while stamped with the virtuoso's individuality never degenerates into eccentric mannerism. His D minor concerto, recently played with the Van der Stucken orchestra in New York, is cast in the largest mould—colossal, our German friends would say—and while betraying traces of other composers, is, nevertheless, most promising and interesting. Mr. Moor's compositions have always something fresh to say.

One might make this small list of four much larger; for instance, with Mr. Edmund Neupert, an artist who is too seldom heard in public, and one of the broadest and most musicianly players we have with us, or young Alexander Lambert, Milo Benedict, scholarly Dr. Maas, the elegant Goldbeck, composer as well as pianist, and clever Constantin Sternberg, the number would be immense; but the four above mentioned are exponents of every variety of the pianistic art. Moor, though the youngest of the group, possesses the most genius, which, it is to be hoped, culture will ripen. That this small study is only an incomplete effort to outline the distinguishing characteristics of some of our younger artists may readily be seen, and it is hoped the sine of commission or omission (the latter particularly) will be overlooked.

JAMES HUXKKEE.

It may be of interest to the readers of *THE ETUDE* to know that Ed. Mayerhofer, who has been directing a Family Home for American Students in Dresden, Germany, will return to New York toward the end of April and will conduct another party abroad, leaving New York on Saturday, May 7th. Full particulars can be had by addressing Ed. Mayerhofer, care of Martens Bros., 1184 Broadway, N. Y.

ACCENTUATION IN PIANO PLAYING.

When one first hears the harp as a solo instrument, showering the air with myriads of airy tones, he asks, Why is not this instrument more cultivated in our day? Its thick, rushing swarms of tones remind one of that fanciful passage in Jean Paul Richter's "Titan," where he speaks of tones rushing forth like swarms of silver bees. The sound of the harp is tremulously sweet and its aerial tones melt delicately as whispers in a dream. The harp was the instrument which made Alessandro Scarlatti famous; it was the immortal accompaniment for the chanting poet; it was the grandfather of the piano. The answer is to be sought in one thing: The range of its intensity is small, hence two things are lacking to it—graduations of power great enough to express that vast fluctuation of emotion which characterizes all modern life since the French Revolution, and secondly, it cannot attain that variety and pointiness of accent which makes the musical form stand out in high relief. The very name piano-forte, is the key to the superiority of it over the harp; yet, judging by the performers we often hear, the name should be, not piano-forte, but pianissimo fortissimo, for their explosive and exaggerated playing, with its shocks of monotonous contrast, remind us of what Hawies says about the contrasts of black lava and white snow.

Next after the immense range of tones of the piano, embracing seven octaves and a minor third,—that is, fifty-two letters or eighty-eight distinctly different sounds,—and its convenient location of this large tone-alphabet under the very finger-tips of the musician, we must reckon the piano's vast range of intensity as its chief beauty. In this regard it yields place only to the pipe organ, though here again it holds priority in one matter of prime import, viz., accentuation. As a water-lily floats on the surface of a stream, freely undulating, and yet is anchored to the bottom by its long stem, so the melody, though prominent, bears subtle relations to the bass tone and to all the intervening ones; indeed, it is these very subtleties of relationship which, like the play of color in nature, give life, and make what we call classical music. Shades of intensity are generally referred to five subdivisions, viz., pianissimo, piano, mezzo, forte and fortissimo; but each of these might, without ultra-refinement, be subdivided into three, thus giving in all fifteen. It is not the least difficult for an ordinary ear to distinguish these differences in sound, but for the nerves to realize them in execution is extremely difficult. From these varieties arise two primary elements of musical beauty—shading and accentuation.

The difference of power in simultaneous tones brings out that balance of the song—the bass and the accompanying chords or counterpoint—which is one of the most conspicuous excellences in the playing of Hans von Bülow. The total neglect of this simultaneous contrast by many of our headlong modern pianists often gives one the vertigo, and makes him feel like an unwilling voyager embarked on a plunging log and hurried down a swollen river whose overflow has half effaced the features of the landscape. A melody should be pronounced with a force from two to three times as great as those reiterated negative tones which define the harmony and keep the rhythm twirling, while the bass should nearly always lie about half-way between the melody and the contrapuntal accompaniment. The changes of intensity in sounds as they occur consecutively, give rise to those living palpitations of crescendo and diminuendo which link music in mystical affinity with the rolling ocean, the flushing of dawn, and the lapse of evening, with the variable voice of winds, and with the soul of man, whose very name means breath. It is not, however, of nuance in power that we here treat; accent, which means the relative importance of a single tone, as compared with others in a series before and after it, is as necessary to a beautifully-rounded musical thought as the enlarged balls and sockets which terminate the bones, "giving grace of outline and finer grace of movement," are necessary to the animal frame. Accents may be classified under four heads: first, the regular accent on

the initial beat of a measure. This down-beat, or one of the measure, may be aptly termed the "ictus," a term borrowed from prosody, the technique of the sister art of poetry. The relative intensity of this ictus, or implied accent on the first beat of the measure, may vary considerably with the style and movement of the composition; thus, if the rhythm be brisk and piquant, as in many dance forms, most of all the "Tarantelle," the accent must be positive and incessant, but as you proceed toward the quiet, melodious style, it may grow fainter, but never should be lost. Secondly, the *sforzando*, or special accent, is very positive indeed, and corresponds to the accent given to particular words by elocutionists; whereas, the implied accent on the ictus of the measure is like the accent of the word which is essential to its proper pronunciation.

This *sforzando* may occur on any beat of the measure, but is especially effective when it is synopacted; that is, placed on the light beat. The third accent is that which bears the same relation to the second half of a measure as the primary ictus on the first half; it might be fittingly termed the secondary ictus. Nearly all measures are compound, and composers fold up their musical ideas in pairs, as the lobes are placed in a seed. Six-eight is distinctly a double rhythm, and four-four, though named common time, is, in reality, twice two. There are only three primary numbers in music, two and three, all the others are derivatives. The fourth species of accent is that which fixes the most important tone in a subsidiary motive thereby defining its rhythmic location. The same law of leadership for the first note in groups of two, three, or four tones, which appertains to the beats in a measure, belongs also, to these little families of tones that modestly establish themselves in the nooks and recesses of the rhythm. Schumann is full of such minutiae. A beautiful example will be found in the "D," "C," "C" sharp, "B," "C" sharp at the close of his lovely little "Elegy on Mendelssohn," dated Nov. 4, and found in his Album, Opus 68. The usefulness of this fourth species of accent will be recognized by trying a crude pupil on Mendelssohn's familiar "Spring Song" in "A" major. Here the two-four time of the measure, if divided into eight sixteenths, would allot five of these units to the melody, and then follow three units. The pupil would be almost certain to accent the first of these three sixteenths, making the group sound like a triplet, unless he is taught to give a faint stress to the second tone, "D" sharp. JOHN S. VAN CLEVE.

EARLY EDUCATION.

When should a child's education begin? At birth. No sooner has the little creature opened its eyes upon the light of the room than the impressions of its surroundings begin to be made upon the little mind, and the germ of consciousness, now budbed, begins to develop. It bursts out soon in laughter, in song, and in speech, and it ripens, later on, into fruit of some kind, and is not the quality and character of this fruit largely dependent upon home surroundings and home education? Almost entirely so.

One of the grandest families we ever knew consisted of four boys and two girls. The father was a man of a low order intellectually, but the mother was a highly cultivated lady—before her marriage the principal of a university. After her marriage, she devoted her entire attention to the education of her children. She conducted a regular daily school for their benefit, and threw about them such an atmosphere of culture and refinement that in course of time they all, without exception, went out into the world and filled high and honorable positions—some in literature, some in art. And this is the result of a mother's influence.

Look about you, ye teachers; how many pupils have you that come up to any sort of an ideal standard of work? Not one in fifty. And why? Simply because you are asked to do in most cases an impossible thing, viz., to take a child reared in a non-intellectual atmosphere, calloused by neglect up to the age of nine, twelve, or fifteen, whatever age the judicious parent thinks proper to begin study—stiffened as to its muscles, without a particle of sentiment, with perverted tastes, to take a new culture and transform it into the noblest ideal of manhood or womanhood—a cultured artist!

It cannot be accomplished. So long as this great social force is enacted, so long as parents are ignorant, so long as teachers are ignorant, so long as we are patching and putting, Conscience, be still, cry not out! Learn to expect disappointment from every source. We cannot remodel the world in a minute, nor yet in a lifetime. But amid all the disappointments and vexatious trials of the teacher, let us endeavor to take a child and make a few noble lives that are living and striving to ours to build up the cause of humanity in the home. D. H. F.

CONCERT PROGRAMMES.

University Hall, Recital by Pupils of Mrs. J. S. Horton, Vincennes, Ind.

Pizzicato, Delibes; (b) Barcarole, Abt; (b) Wanderer's Night song, Rubinstein; Harmonious Blacksmith, Haendel; Pages Song (from Figaro), Mozart; Polonaise, Merkell; Una Voce Poca Fa, Rossini; (a) Valse, Durand; (a) Minuet, Mozart-Schubert; Barcarole, Ricci; Impromptu, B flat major, Schubert-Liszt; Concert Polonaise, Bohn; Daughter of Error, Bishop; (a) Etude No. 8, Cramer-Von Bülow, Ed.; (a) Valse, E flat major, Rubinstein.

Beethoven Recital, Krøger Music Club, Roodhouse, Ill., Ch. Curtis-Rhoads, President.

Sketches of Life of Beethoven: Sonata, Op. 10, No. 2, Allegro Molto e con brio, Adagio Molto; Piano Trio, March from Rains of Athens; Larghetto from 2d Symphony, Op. 86, arranged for piano by J. N. Hammel; Op. 27, No. 2, Adagio Sostenuto, Allegretto.

School of Music, DePaul University, J. H. Howe, Musical Director.

The Lovers, Koschat; Piano-forte, Hunting Song, Mendelssohn; Vocal, Toreador's Song (from Carmen), Bizet; Piano-forte, Impromptu in A flat, Schubert; Vocal, Merce delitta amiche, Verdi; Piano-forte, Wiegand, Hansen; A la quel gior, Rossini; Piano-forte, Kameru Ostrov, No. 1, Rubinstein; Hunting Song (from Blodfen), Parry; Piano-forte, La Gazelle, Wollenhaupt; Vocal, Crozer Lover's Song, Buck; (a) On the Sea Shore, Mendelssohn; (a) La Fille De Pecheur, Liszt; Vocal, Ah fors e lui, Verdi; Piano-forte, Polonaise, A major, Chopin; Market Chorus (from Martha), Flotow.

Mrs. L. P. Grunewald, Houston, Texas.

Tone and Touch in Piano Playing, a musical paper; (a) Idylle, Dorn; (a) Marche, Jensen; Fantasia, Fugaver; Galop Militaire, Ch. Mayer; Spring Song, Merkell; Barcarole, Schubert; (a) Air from Tannhäuser, transcribed by Lange; (b) Gavotte in G minor, Bach; Valse Poetique, Gotschalk; Tarentelle in A flat, Heller; Variation a la Valse, Weber; Sing, Smile, Summer, Gounod; Strach, Cerman March, Grundel; Rhapsodie, Hongroise, No. 2, Liszt; (a) Am Loreley Fels, Raff; (b) Scherzo, in form of a canon, Jadaschohn.

Wesleyan Female Institute, G. W. Bryant, Musical Director.

Piano Trio, Overture to Don Juan, Mozart; Song, My Pretty, Bonnie Maid, Rosenfeld; Piano Quartette, Concert March, Wollenhaupt; Solo and Chorus, Birds of Song, Howard; Piano Quartette, Les Patineurs Walizes, Waldeuter; Double Trio, The Cuckoo's Call, Geibel; Piano Solo, Sonata in E flat major, Haydn; Vocal Duett, Come, Haste and Seek, Campana; Piano Duett, Valse, Op. 84, No. 1, Chopin; Vocal Solo, Beneath the Summer Skies, Geibel; Double Trio, Rest Thee on This Mossy Pillow, Smart; Piano Solo: (a) Adagio from Sonata in D, Mozart; (b) Waltz, Op. 69, No. 2, Chopin; Vocal Solo, Pierrot, Hutchinson; Piano Quartette, Grand Galop Chromatique, Liszt.

Miss Mary L. Aldin, Teacher, Syracuse, N. Y.

(a) The Clock, Kullak; (b) Spinning Song, Elmenreich; Happy Farmer, Schumann; (a) First Sorrow, Schumann; (b) Reaper's Song, Schumann; (a) Wiegand, Hauser; (b) Ah, have Sighed to Rest, Verdi; A la Valse, Bohrer; Violin Solo, Clara Schumann; Rhapsodie Hongroise, Hauser; (a) Gavotte, Durand; (b) Tannhäuser March, Wagner; Impromptu, Rive-King; Gondellied, Richter; Serenade Hongroise, Jancieres.

Mr. Arthur Foote, Boston, Mass., Mr. George J. Parker Assisting.

Italian Concerto, Bach; Songs of Penitence (Bussied), Beethoven; Faschingschwank Aus Wien, Op. 84, Schumann; Fachel, John K. Fachel; On the Walls of Salamanca (MS.), Arthur Whiting; Then art Mine, George Henschel; The Quiet Moon upon the Clouds, Arthur W. Thayer; Over the Mountain, F. Lynes; Suite for Piano-forte in D minor (MS.), Foote; Solvège's Song, Edward Grieg; Whence Comes My Sadness, Clayton Alton; Clara Schumann; Love Took Me Softly by the Hand (MS.), Foote; A Song from the Persian, G. W. Chadwick; Piano-forte Solo: Prelude (from 1st Suite, Op. 10), MacDowell; Scherzino, Chadwick; Humoreske, Arthur Whiting; Rondo in G sharp minor, Rubinstein; Polonaise in G major, Henschel.

Pupils of Mrs. C. S. P. Cary, Rochester, N. Y.

(a) Gavotte, 1 and 2, Bach; (b) Gigue from Sixth English Suite, Bach; Sonata, Op. 70; (a) Moderato, Weber; (b) Minuetto, Weber; (c) Andante Consolate, Weber; (d) Fantele, Tarentelle, Weber; (e) Nocturne, Op. 45, No. 1, Chopin; (b) Nocturne, Op. 56, No. 1, Chopin; (a) Waltz, Op. 64, No. 2, Chopin; (b) Waltz, Op. 64, No. 2, Chopin; (a) First Fugue, Handel; (b) Gigue, E minor, Handel; Shero, Op. 45, Jadaschohn; Silver Spring, Mason; Soiree de Vienne, No. 9, Schubert; (a)

Des Abends, Schumann; (b) Aufschung, Schumann; (c) Ende vom Lied, Schumann; (a) Consolation, Liszt; (b) Venezia E Napoli, No. 8, Liszt.

Conservatory of Music, J. W. Ruggles, Fayette, Iowa.

(a) English Glee, Rise, Sleep no More, Benedict; (b) Hymn, Raise Thine Eyes to Heaven, Barnby; Piano, March, Gounod; (a) Stars of the Summer Night; (b) Let Me Dream; Piano Solo, With Nocturne, Leybach; Soprano Solo, My Western Home; Piano Duo, Salut a pesth, Kowalski; (a) Glee, The Hunter's Song, Kucken; (b) Glee, Lark Song, Mendelssohn; Male Octette, Waltz Song, Vogel; Soprano Solo, Gaily I Wander; Piano Solo, Tarente, Thoma.

Piano Recital and Lecture, Herpe D. Wilkins, Rochester, N. Y.

Prelude and Fugue in G, No. 15, J. S. Bach; Sonata in C, Op. 53 (Aurora), L. V. Beethoven; Nachtsuckte, Op. 23, No. 4, Robert Schumann; Kreisleriana, Nos. 2, 5, 6, Robert Schumann; Erl-King, Schubert-Liszt; Lecture, The Evolution of the Piano-forte; Variations, Op. 82, F. Mendelssohn Bartholdy; Polonaise, A flat, Frederic Chopin; Nocturne, D flat, Frederic Chopin; Murmuring Zephyrs, trans. Jensen; Wiegand, Kjerulf; Tannhäuser, Wagner-Liszt.

The Pupils of Mr. von Westernhagen, Philadelphia, Pa., Mrs. E. Althe Osgood, Soprano, Mr. G. Guhlmann, Violon, assisting.

Overture for two pianos, eight hands, Siege de Corinth, Rossini; Piano Solo: (a) Serenade upon 53, Harberich; (b) Albumblatt, Grieg; Song, Ave Maria, Gounod; Piano Duett for two pianos, Sonata in C major, Grieg, Mozart; Violin Solo: (a) Arabeske, Schumann; (b) Ballade, Rheinberger; Song: (a) The Night has a Thousand Eyes, Cowen; (b) Forever and Forever, Cowen; Piano Duett for two pianos, Fantasia from Verdi's Trovatore, Alberti; March Militaire for two pianos, eight hands, Schubert.

Conservatory of Music, Ohio Wesleyan University, Second Annual Recital by the Pupils of Prof. F. M. Davis, Assisted by Mrs. Davis, Piano; Mr. O'Kane, Cello; Mr. Garder, Contra-Bass.

Piano, Sonata, No. 2, B flat, Mozart; Piano, Finger Twist, Stephen A. Emery; Piano Duett, Sonatine, Op. 185, Last Movement, Spindler; Piano and Violin, Sonata, No. 9, Andante Vario, Mozart; Piano, Nocturne, Op. 29, Wollenhaupt; Piano, Pizzicato, Delibes; Spanish Dance, Moszkowski; Piano, Polish Dance, Op. 42, No. 1, Scharwenka; Piano, Concerto, No. 20, D major, Mozart; Cadenza, Reinecke; Violin, Summer Evening, Op. 70, No. 1, Kucken; Piano, Nocturne, Op. 9, No. 1, Chopin; Waltz, Op. 64, D flat, Chopin; Violin and Piano, Sonata, No. 1, D major, Schubert; Piano, Overture, Marionette, Op. 105, Gurlitt; Piano, Concerto, Op. 15, C major, Beethoven; Piano, Andante Spianato and Polonaise, Op. 22, Chopin; Violin, Concerto, No. 1, D. Beriot; Two Pianos, Valse Brillante, A flat, Moszkowski.

Berlin, March 16th, 1887.

Dr. Hans von Bülow has just completed a cycle of Beethoven recitals, including all of the great writer's important works for the piano. The programmes comprised the following sonatas: Op. 2, No. 2; Op. 10, No. 1; Op. 14, Nos. 1 and 2; Op. 27, No. 1; Op. 27, No. 2; Op. 28, "The Moonlight"; Op. 31, Nos. 1, 2, 3, Appassionato, Nos. 78, 81 and 101; the great sonata Op. 106; Op. 109, 110 and 111. Also, the twelve variations on a Russian dance; six variations in F; fifteen variations with fugue in B; thirty-two variations in C major; thirty-three variations over a Waltz of Diabelli; Fantasia Op. 77, and the Rondo Capriccio, Op. 129.

It was my first opportunity of hearing Bülow, and I had heard so many contradictory criticisms on his playing that I was quite curious. As usual he played entirely from memory, and, truly the most perfect of exactness, was wonderful; every note and phrase being given with the utmost correctness. But this is not alone what constitutes the greatness of his playing. Every mode and manner of expression is so well studied, and each one's place so well chosen, that his interpretation is not only helpful but always highly artistic. Perhaps he lacks spontaneity, certainly his playing is never passionate, but it is always clear and well out, and the interpretations so artistic, it gives one the same pleasure as a beautiful statue. I have heard the "Moonlight Sonata" played more tenderly, but never so artistically. The Op. 81, No. 8, in E-flat, was interpreted with exquisite delicacy. The great sonata Op. 106 was a great success. The fugue in the last movement was a most remarkable performance, taken from beginning at a frightful tempo, and slowed with absolute clearness and precision. Truly, the mental power that can grasp and retain these great works with absolute correctness and perfect understanding, such a mind is almost that of a genius. Recently, when Dr. Bülow appeared to play in Dresden, the audience was so large that the concert was under common law. Every time he began he was drowned by the tumult, and he finally had to leave the stage. On his last appearance here this winter, the applause was

so tumultuous that he feared the story would be repeated. During the first sonata he missed many notes and played altogether badly from nervousness, but soon recovered his self-possession, and every evening added to his laurels. He is outspoken that half of the musical world is always at sword's point with him. Usually, in public, he alluded to the Royal Opera here as "Hülse's circus" (Hülse is the General Intendant). A few days after he and his wife entered a Loge to attend a performance, they were politely informed they must leave and would never again be permitted to attend an opera there.

On last Wednesday was Scharwenka's last concert, the only one of this season in which he himself played a solo. It was the evening for Berlin composers, and he played his own concerto in B minor. His playing is the most spirited of any pianist I ever heard. He is a perfect master of the technical difficulties of the instrument, and his interpretations are forceful and passionate as well as artistic. He was greeted with tremendous applause, receiving eight laurel wreaths, and honored with a flourish from the orchestra. A violin concert of Moritz Moszkowski was also on the programme, but at the last minute, Herr Albertini, a young and very talented Spaniard, who was to play it, was called to Paris by the death of his mother. At the rehearsal the morning, however, it was played before quite an audience of musical people, much to every one's pleasure. It is one of Moszkowski's best efforts. Some "Carnaval-Scenes" for orchestra, by H. Bird, a young American, was played for the first time, but was badly played. The 29th is the yearly concert of Scharwenka's Conservatory, to be given in the Concert House, followed by a ball to the students.

Niemann made his first appearance since his return from America, in "Die Walküre," on Friday. He was welcomed with great joy by the Berlin public with whom he is an immense favorite. G. Foster.

MAXIMS.

1. Maintain dignity without the appearance of pride.
2. Persevere against discouragement.
3. Keep your temper.
4. Be punctual and methodical in business and never procrastinate.
5. Preserve self-possession, and do not be talked out of conviction.
6. Never be in an unfixing hurry.
7. Rise early and be an economist of time.
8. Practice strict temperance.
9. Manner is something with everybody, and everything with some.
10. Be guarded in discourse, attentive, and slow to speak.
11. Never acquiesce in immoral or pernicious opinions.
12. Be not forward to assign reasons to those who have no right to ask.
13. Think nothing in conduct unimportant or indifferent.
14. Live within your income; be ever saving; avoid as much as possible such borrowing or lending.
15. In all your transactions remember the final account with your Maker.
16. Oftentimes the blackness which we believe we see in others is only our own shadow.
17. Good manners are all things difficult, industry all easy.
18. Good manners is the art of making those who converse with whom we converse; whoever makes the fewest uneasy is the best bred man in company.

The following letter-circular has been sent to the officers of the various State Associations. The question involved is of interest to all members of the M. T. N. A. and music teachers, hence we give it publicity through THE ETUDE:—

DEAR SIR:—The Indiana Music Teachers' Association will hold a business meeting at Indianapolis on the afternoon of July 4th, preceding the M. T. N. A. meeting. Its Representative Board will also meet at the same time, and the question of acceding to the annual meeting of the M. T. N. A. will probably come before it.

Four State Associations have formed Representative Boards with duties uniformly defined,—viz., to conduct all business in relation to other Associations. It is suggested that a meeting of such Representative Boards of all State Associations be held with the Indiana Board at Indianapolis, July 4th.

To this end it is desirable to make the organization of existing State Associations, and those to be hereafter formed, as nearly uniform as possible. Therefore we are earnestly invited to meet with us or to send delegates from your State Associations, where such exist. You will find the matter more fully explained in our annual report which accompanies this letter.

May we hope to hear from you under consideration and favor us with a speedy reply?

MAX LEUCKER, Chairman Representative Board.
FLORE M. HUTTON, President.

These ten historical piano recitals being given, in conjunction with Prof. Hugh A. Clarke, by Mr. Charles H. Jarvis, at the Academy of Fine Arts, in Philadelphia, are extremely interesting and successful. Mr. Jarvis was to be styled a veteran pianist. His unceasing labors to elevate the standard of piano playing in his native city has not been unappreciated, as his numerous attentive audiences can testify. I remember well the spring of 1878, when he gave at his own residence a series of recitals, embracing all schools and composers. It was a very large project to carry through, requiring any amount of endurance, and it was carried through successfully. The programmes, which make a respectable sized book, now lie before me, and are a valuable souvenir. Prof. Hugh A. Clarke gave a series of recitals, embracing all schools at each composer. The addresses, which were promised to be published in *The Etude* with this issue, will not be commenced until some later issue.

Young Louis Gaertner, the youngest son of the well-known musician Carl Gaertner, recently played Joachim's Hungarian Concerto in Philadelphia. The young man is very talented, and as a boy amazed everybody by his musical precocity. He was carefully drilled by his father, who is one of the most enthusiastic quartette players in the country, and has done not a little in promoting the love for this excellent and musical composition. He was soon became a favorite pupil of Joachim's in Berlin, and has returned to us as a finished artist, possessing a singularly broad tone and a fine musical conception. His brother Carl is an excellent cellist and a promising pianist. Mr. and Mrs. George Busch, will give a series of song recitals in Boston this spring.

Mrs. and Trebelli are concertizing West. Mme. Fanny Bloomfield has been winning fresh laurels in Baltimore.

The old Academy of Music is sold in New York. Mr. Constantin Sternberg is giving a series of four concerts in Atlanta, Georgia.

Madame Carreno has slipped away again to Venezuela, but will return in May.

The National Opera season has closed in New York, after a most successful season. Schubert's grand opera, "Nero," was the *pièce de résistance* of the season and was magnificently presented. All reports to the contrary, the past year has been all that was expected, and the company is on a firm financial basis.

Aus der Ohe is still conquering everywhere. She played recently with success at all before her. At the Arion concert in New York, April 8th, she performed Mendelssohn's G minor concerto—the school-girl's concerto, as Bülow sneeringly termed it; and oh, ye maidens of pianistic United States, if you could have but heard her delivery of this concerto, it would have been worth one hundred lessons! She also plays von Weber's concertstück with the Philharmonic, under Theodore Thomas, and also in Philadelphia with Gerike.

The last-named leader has scored a great triumph in New York. His orchestra is superb, and its finished style has won everywhere the highest praise. The strings are particularly fine. Joseffy was the soloist at the last Gerike concert in New York, and played the Henselt F minor concerto in a most delightful way. It is a poetically conceived work and was so interpreted, the little Hungarian doing his best work of the season. It is not generally known that when Joseffy came to this country he had in his repertoire but two concertos, both by Chopin. In seven years he has added the two Beethoven's, Brahms', Henselt's, St. Saëns', Bach's, Liszt's, D minor, Liszt's, Liszt's A major and E flat major, Dvorik and Tchaikowski's. Not a bad showing, even for an artist like Joseffy.

Speaking of concertos reminds me that a fellow-countryman of Joseffy's, Mr. Emanuel Moor, played his own D minor work with the Van der Stucken Orchestra, and although the soloist had been thoroughly fatigued by an exhausting journey the day before, he won an instantaneous recognition of the great merits of his work. The sapient critics who write up a prize fight in the morning, attend a concert, and report in the evening a temperance lecture in the evening, were a little puzzled at the originality of the work and its epic breadth and vital themes, and they are not to be altogether blamed; for one sees so many new compositions gently aimed forth and disparage their conventional ideas with a conscious sort of a way, as if to say, "Go to; we will not flatter originality and alarm the apathetic public, but will delicately win their regard by old and favorite ideas slightly altered," that these self-made critics, afraid of venturing an honest opinion, will rather report a prize fight than repeat the whole of the last movement—a rather unusual thing.

Madame Barneiser Petersen gave two piano recitals at Steinway Hall. She also played the little Hungarian Concerto with Thomas at one of her afternoon populars. The lady is an accurate performer, possesses a good technique, but is devoid of individuality as two peas

in pod. Pianists are like the sands on the seashore, and it is a hopeless task to win attention before a metropolitan audience unless one possesses rare qualities. That one may be said of Carlos Salazar, a Cuban pianist, who also gave a recital, but should abstain carefully from Beethoven and cling to Göttschack.

Willis Nowell, who you may remember in Boston last summer as playing, with his brother, the Kreutzer Sonata, appeared at the Thomas Palace in the Brecht Concerto, second rendition of Berlioz's Trojans in Carthage was far from successful, numerous slips occurring. It should be here said, in justice to Madame Julia Rivé-King, who played Liszt's E flat Concerto at this concert, that she was not in good form that evening. Her playing, which was most excellent at the rehearsal, singularly enough, lacked resonance and fire in the evening. A variety of causes operated against a satisfactory rendition of the work, one being Madame King's indisposition, and then a large chorus all buzzing and not in calculated to settle one's nerves, while the piano tone is necessarily desecrated by being so crowded and surrounded. Madame King is too worthy an artist to allow herself to be annoyed by such an awkward *contre-temps* or a chance malicious criticism. The audience testified their approval by five recalls and flowers.

Madame Margareta Kirpal is a comparatively new mezzo-soprano, who sang successfully with Max Heinrich, in Boston, at the Philharmonic Sextette Club concert. She is a pleasing singer.

Emil Liebling is busy playing the piano and teaching his art to numerous pupils.

Mr. W. C. E. Seeboeck, a fine pianist and composer, of Chicago, played last month at Max Heinrich's concert in that city.

Edmund Platz Kelley, an excellent teacher of violin, at Bndford, Mass., gave an interesting pupils' recital, March 9th, and the press speaks most flatteringly of the talent and training displayed.

The position of the directorship of the Metropolitan Opera House, New York, despite the many contrary reports, is still unfilled.

Baltimore is not behind, as a glance at Asger Hameric's programmes will testify. The Peabody Concerts are now in their twenty-first season, and are flourishing. Walter Hall is a well-known teacher in Bridgeport.

Prof. Chas. London, of Cincinnati, is doing work with his pupils, judging from the programmes sent us.

Miss Elsie Lincoln, of LaCrosse, Wisconsin, who made so many warm friends at last summer's Boston meeting, is a very pretty and cheerful, and with her sister, is busy working for the good cause. The numerous artists who visit LaCrosse, and who are the recipients of her kindnesses, all testify to Miss Lincoln's extreme amiability and her talents and devotion to art.

After a second hearing of S. G. Pratt's new opera, "Lorraine," the verdict is favorable. It seems to be an admirable work, and improves on acquaintance. Chicago is proud of Mr. Pratt.

The Douste sisters, charming pianistes and quite young, are still concertizing. Carl Venh, a Norwegian composer and violinist, is well liked in both capacities in New York. His works, for the most part published by Prohazka, are northern in character, with the usual characteristic rhythms.

I was going to tell you something about European music, but will have to keep it for next month. Our own country did fairly, I think, the past four weeks. J. H.

CURRENT CHATS.

BY OLD POGY.

The curious new piano keyboard invented by an ingenious and clever Hungarian pianist, Paul von Janko, the description of which was given in last month's *Etude*, only shows the tendency of our troubled times. That tendency I have spoken of before as arising from piano playing proper, and toward the novel and the unexpected. Other instruments have stood still for the past two hundred years, the members of the string family particularly, but keyed instruments have been undergoing numerous modifications. The modern piano is a long remove from the harpsichord, and this new-fangled apparatus is a bigger jump yet. This is not the first effort in this direction, as there have been at least four or five attempts at double manuals.

August Broth of Breslau, gave an odd arrangement, a double keyboard, that was played on by Jules Zaremaki, the lamented virtuoso, and which enabled the player to accomplish the most astonishing stretches with perfect ease.

Another keyboard, or system of keyboards, will even permit of a chromatic glissando. Just fancy such stuff, such technical togetherness that piano playing will become! The old-fashioned keyboard, for which the Bach

writes, Beethoven sonatas, and Chopin études were fitted, is good enough for me. If people want more, they may as well let them play a four-manual organ on a summer day, and they will get plenty of exercise.

Mr. Lovejoy's protest in last issue is very good in its way, but it is also unnecessary. I never wished a musician to stop reading, but merely pointed out the very patent fact that our culture is shallow, our reading desultory, and not sufficiently condensed to be of any practical value. The immense number of books flooding the country threaten to destroy the mainprings of spontaneity. I defy any one that wanders through the magazines, then the daily medium of slush and scandal with which the average newspaper reeks, after that a peep into the latest thing in fashionable infidelity (yeelp some "ism" or other), then hastily devouring "She," or some other weird, ragged, improbable romance of Haggard & Co., winding up with the diet of Gibbon or Macaulay, to settle themselves down to earnest, sustained study of any sort, much less the jealous mistress, Music. I have selected the list at random; it may be exaggerated, but I think not. In fact, I should have added one or two madrigal romance writers, and it would still be far from complete. Of course, you will naturally say that one should read only the best authors, but a wide experience has made me skeptical on the subject. As a rule, the best authors add the book-shelves, but are not needed. It is the indiscriminate use of books—their indiscriminate use in word—their indiscriminate use pointed out before. A good book is the greatest gift of God.

I will even go a step farther and hold that we hear too much music. This statement, paradoxical as it may sound, will bear investigation, for the mind is loaded on all sides by a mass of music that it is impossible to digest, musical dyspepsia is the result, a complaint that many in the larger cities are suffering from. Think of it—opera, oratorio, concert, musicals. I tell you it is something awful to evolve order out of such chaos, to orderly thinking, I mean. I cannot estimate the amount that has been heard, and then the satisfactory application of it to our own studies. A writer in a recent number of *Saturday Review* deprecates a too frequent attendance at concerts as inducing plethora, general benumbing of the musical faculties, from fatigue. Rather a careful discrimination will, in addition, lead to no progress. The article further adds that the noble resolves and high thinking engendered, as a rule, in listening to the sublime masterpieces of the great masters should not end with the music, but should bear fruit in the performance of good deeds; and while this may smack a little of looking for sermons in symphonies, on the whole the idea is worthy of thought. (I don't for my own part see the connection between tipping the elevator boy and a Dvorák symphony, but that may be my moral obtuseness.) This reminds me of a young enthusiastic pianist, who when asked how he always replied, "Oh, thanks in good practice." His idea of well being was comprised in the single word "Technic." And, speaking of Technic, what a mastery felt was accomplished by the man somewhere in the Southwest who, on a wager, played the piano twenty-four hours; what is to say, he never actually allowed the tone of the instrument to cease! What technic! Where now is the irate von Bülow with his five sonatas at a sitting, or, better still, where is that dashing hero of the celluloid, "The Cowboy Pianist"? These things are calculated to turn the gall of the most ordinary musician.

James Russell Lowell, in his masterly address on "Books and Libraries," voices my somewhat antiquated ideas that in earlier times they did not have so many books to read, but what they did read they knew. So was I say, recall the old school days, and the masterly every fellow young Technic (I hope Mr. Brotherhood will pardon the allusion: I can think of none fitter to apply to a certain type of young artist now very much in vogue) of to-day; yet they knew their Bach as a friar does his breviary. I may, could, transpire, too, at a moment's notice, and in consequence of the music of the day were not littrary. In conclusion, I wish to remotely allude to a letter I received through the kindness of Mr. Presser, in which the writer darkly insinuates that, like Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde, in Stevenson's remarkable romance of that name, I have a double personality, and vainly seeks to connect me with a well-known writer on *THE ETUDE*, whose views are diametrically opposite to my own, which should be sufficient warranty of my sincerity.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ETUDE:—

I read so often in *THE ETUDE* these questions, "What is touch?" "What produces the pure legato touch?" It is a heaven-bested gift, that can be more or less cultivated. Unless I see a soloist at first possess this gift, I despair of their ever producing it. I have one scholar out of twenty that does possess the gift, and in her first lessons could foresee what she has proved.

Mrs. E. M. Dyer.

PUBLISHERS' NOTES.

We have undertaken the publishing of the entire list of analyzed pieces in the first grade of "The Musician," by Ridley Prentice. There are sixty distinct pieces in this set. Over half of these we have already in print and ready to be delivered. The entire set of pieces will be completed before the next season's teaching begins.

We have a most liberal offer to all active teachers, namely: We will send all the music analyzed in this first grade for five dollars (\$5.00), postage free. Cash must in every case accompany the order. The pieces we have now ready will be sent on receipt of order; the rest will follow as the pieces are published.

This music, in its present form, amounts to \$21.00 at retail price; from this can be seen the liberality of our present offer.

Our edition is especially adapted to "The Musician," the work of editing being done by a number of our most prominent teachers. This set of pieces has an educational value which cannot be surpassed. The selection contains the best of the easy music by well-known composers. The success of the pieces we have already published warrants us getting out the complete set. It is hoped that the inducement here offered will further increase the usefulness of this set of easy-teaching pieces. This offer will be withdrawn when the entire set of pieces is published.

We have now published the last volume of "The Musician," by Ridley Prentice. The offer we made some time ago, to send the set at reduced rates, is now withdrawn. The offer, it will be remembered, held good only until the sixth grade was published. Many hundreds of teachers have availed themselves of this offer, and all express themselves delighted with the work. We are aware there are many of our readers that would like to possess these volumes; hence we make another offer to procure the work at reduced price. We will offer to any teacher, sending us seven dollars and fifty cents (\$7.50), the complete music of the first grade of "The Musician," according to above offer, and the six volumes of "The Musician," postage or express free. This offer will cease, also, when all the music of the first grade is published.

We have published some very valuable music this month, which does not appear, and will not, in THE ETUDE:—

"On the Mountain" (50 cents), by S. N. Penfield, is a musically-made composition, which will rank with our best American compositions.

"Marche Nocturne" (35 cents), by N. A. Barbe, will please the young miss of the boarding school. The rhythm is well marked, the melody pleasing; the technical difficulties are within reach of average players.

"Valse" (35 cents), by Dorsey W. Hyde, is a composition by a talented American teacher, who makes a positive gift for composition. This piece is about fourth grade in a scale of ten. It will repay the study of it. It will make an excellent introduction to the more subtle values of Chopin.

We have also two more pieces by Luca Fumagalli—"Cupid's Date" (30 cents), song; and "Melody" (20 cents). The former is a bright, melodic composition, suitable for encore. We bespeak a popular career for this song. "Melody" is an exquisite album leaf, full of genuine musical feeling.

We have, besides the above, a number of other valuable manuscripts being engraved. Our editions we aim to make accurate and handsome. We are extremely careful what we publish in the first place, and are equally as careful in getting it up. We buy the best material, employ the best workmen, and spare no pains or expense in getting up our publications.

MUSICAL SOCIETIES IN COLLEGES.

Mr. CARROL, ILL., SEMINARY.
March 24th, 1887.

To THE EDITOR OF THE ETUDE:—
The increased interest in the study of musical history—that, for some time past, has been vigorously prosecuted by the students of the Conservatory of Mt. Carroll Seminary, called for a knowledge of music, in its various departments, in a more general way than it could be acquired in class. The problem—what would be the best means of attaining this end—presented itself, and the organization of the "Cecilian Society," in the early fall, gave the required solution.

A meeting of the members is held every Friday evening, and doors are thrown open to visitors on each month; and during each school term the Society engages artists from abroad to appear in concert.

The work of the fall term was principally in the department of vocal music. Papers, essays, and talks, on the "German Lied," the "Modern Lied Composers," "English Ballad," and "Scottish Songs," with a programme representative of each style, were given by the students. This was followed by a season of "Opera."

study; three evenings were devoted to Wagner's best-known operas, during which time a series of papers on "The Nibelungen Ring," "Music of the Future," "Wagner's Life and Style of Composition," and libretti of the operas under consideration (prepared by members having made a special study of the Wagnerian school), with selections in chorus, duet, and solo, formed prominent features.

Gounod's "Faust" succeeded with a very interesting programme, composed of 1. Libretto of Gounod's "Faust" (by one of the pupils well acquainted with the opera); 2. Gounod-Liszt "Faust Waltz." 3. Le Parlate D'Amore. 4. Jewel Song. 5. Talk on Goethe's "Faust," by Prof. H. W. Hazzen, of the Chair of Literature and History.

The plan of study for the present term is in "Musical Form," with papers and selections illustrating each division. The order has been as follows: 1. Phrase, Period, Small 2 part and 3 part Primary Forms. 2. "Motive," with the different modes of development. 3. "Song Form" simple and extended. 4. The "Rondo," with Beethoven's Largo Op. 2, No. 2, Haydn's Gipsy Rondo, Field's Rondo in E♭, and Weber's "Perpetual Motion," as examples: The "First movement" will succeed the "Rondo" and be followed by "Sonata" evening, at which time Haydn, Mozart and Beethoven (Moonlight and Pathétique) sonatas will be rendered.

Programmes on the fugue and symphony forms, and "classical" and "romantic" music will complete this line of study. The Society began work with a membership of thirty, which has increased to fifty-seven in one term; aside from attendance at regular sessions, a dozen or more of the most interested "Cecilians" meet for an hour on Saturday to talk on musical topics that have been presented during the week.

The "Cecilian" aims to place at the disposal of its members the best periodicals of the day, and to possess a library of the choicest, most useful and interesting books, containing musical matter. Three concerts are given during the year, that the members may be benefited by hearing music as rendered by the best artists, and the addition of new books to its library.

CECILIAN.

WHO HAS BLUNDERED?

MR. EDITOR:—In your March number Mr. Charles W. Wood characterized the American fingering as a "disgraceful blunder," arguing from a scientific standpoint. Let us see: Webster defines a "finger as one of the five terminating members of the hand; a digit: also one of the extremities of the hand, not including the thumb." This shows conclusively that while the thumb is classed among the fingers in one sense, it is considered as distinct from them in another sense; and hence to speak of the members of the hand as being a thumb and four fingers is not "a blunder," so long as Webster is authority. Furthermore, it is manifest to every one conversant with the use of English language, that the thumb is considered as a distinct member, and never classed as a finger in ordinary conversation. The members are called the thumb and fingers. In speaking of the first finger, not one in a thousand would understand it as referring to the thumb, outside of the technical use in piano-finger notation. Again, I submit that it will be an absolute impossibility to substitute the foreign nomenclature for the American, for the reason that every other instrument is fingered using the signs 1 2 3 4 to indicate the fingers of the hand. In the violin, guitar, band instruments and other methods, so far as I have observed, all use the American nomenclature, including German authors. This being true, it will convince any unprejudiced mind that the only way out of the difficulty will be to make the American system uniform and universal. Substitute the dotted star for the cross to represent the double sharp, and employ the signs 1 2 3 4 to represent the members of the hand, and there will be secured a harmony and reasonable adjustment of this vexed question. But I have no hopes of it during this generation, with the bitter opposition presented. Of one thing all may be assured: no amount of epithets or false reasoning can move the friends of American Nomenclature, where it is so fully in accord with the usage of our people.

Yours truly,

J. W. RUGGLES.

Payette, Iowa, April 2d, 1887.

A CAREFULLY SELECTED LIST

Of American Songs Suitable for Concert and Teaching Purposes, With Key, Compass, Grade and Price.

GRADE ON A SCALE OF 10.

PUBLISHED OR FOR SALE BY G. SCHIRMER, NEW YORK.

Op.	No.	W. W. GILCHRIST.	Key.	Compass.	Grade.	Price.
		"Bugle Song."	G	D to G	5	50 c.
		"How Many Thoughts."	F	C to G	5	35 c.
		"Sing! Sing!"	D	F to G	6	50 c.
		"Dream, Baby, Dream."	F	C to F	5	25 c.
87	3	"The Captive of Bacchus."	F	A to E	6	50 c.
87	4	"The Gypsies."	B♭	A to F	5	50 c.
87	5	"When Life hath Sorrow found."	D♭	A♭ to D	5	35 c.

		A. B. PARSONS.				
		"Break! Break! Break!"	F mi.	C to F	6	50 c.
		JULES JORDAN.				
		"A Venetian Serenade."	G	D to G♯	5	35 c.

PUBLISHED OR FOR SALE BY THE CHICAGO MUSIC CO.

20	1	WILSON G. SMITH.	A♭	C to A♭	3	35 c.
		"The two Songs."				

PUBLISHED OR FOR SALE BY OLIVER DITSON & CO., BOSTON.

		RUDOLF KING.				
		"Good Night, My Love."	E♭	E♭ to A♭	3	30 c.
		"All is still."	A♭	F to A♭	5	40 c.
		"I Love Thee."	E♭	E♭ to F	4	35 c.

PUBLISHED OR FOR SALE BY THE BOSTON MUSIC CO.

		DUDLEY BUCK.				
87	1	"Where the Lindens Bloom."	A♭	C to E♭	5	50 c.
87	2	"Bedouin Love Song."	F mi.	E to G	6	50 c.

		W. W. GILCHRIST.				
		"Quiet."	F mi.	C to F	6	40 c.
		"A Love Song."	F	E to F	6	35 c.
		"The Voice of the Sea."	G mi.	D to G	5	40 c.
		"The Dear Long Ago."	G mi. and maj.	A to G	7	50 c.
		"Waves of the far away Ocean."	G mi.	F to A	6	50 c.
		"Golden Rod."	A	A to F	8	50 c.
		"Autumn Song."	F	A to F	5	40 c.

[FOR THE ETUDE.]

LISZT!

BY W. H. NEALE.

"Applaud so great a guest, Celestial power!
Who now resides with you, but once was ours."

Liszt's unquestioned sovereignty as a pianist—in the broadest, deepest and highest, the *supreme*, artistic sense of that appellation—entered early and remained undisturbed in the long memory of my musical life. Moreover, I esteem him as the one *peerless* musician in the world's history. The only prolific composer who was also a masterly, a *phenomenal* performer, from first to last; and not only in unparalleled executive powers, but in intense expression of every motion, through the medium of his own heart, and his exact interpretations of the peculiar emotional conceptions of *every* other great composer, as if he were the "double" of each. He lived to twice the age of most other notable performing composers, and held undisputed ascendancy among pianists from boyhood till the last week of his life! He was a lifetime recipient of regal deference and affectionate love from all who knew him; and yet, unlike other mortals, he was not spoiled by adulation; he was affable to all, fawning to none. The wealth that flooded him he used as a gift to him for the good of others; for "he was ever generous and kind, always ready to encourage talent and assist the needy. His benevolence was proverbial and very frequently abused. With his pupils, especially, he was tender and fatherly. His great personal magnetism, strengthened by the charms of his music, captured the love of some women for him, all unconsciously to himself; for he carried himself, under the loads of worship heaped upon him—as *true merit* always does—with unobtrusive dignity, urbanity and even modesty, except when exasperated by the execrations of patronage and presumption of Royalty or titled nobility, of either sex."

This unvarnished portrait—moral and mental—of Liszt is plain *truth*: it will be enhanced—anon—by beautiful coloring, laid on by better hands than mine. Meanwhile, my candid readers, try to find, among the best men you know, an approximate counterpart to this simply grand prototype. You will fail. And yet, like all of us, he was essentially human; nothing that is human was foreign to his nature, any more than ours. Therefore, when he was being elected himself to be a judge of others—the decision of the "jury" of his peers, as you would judge him—he was bound to be just, as you would be judged—"his looks for sins to censure should be directed by charity, as well as by intelligent integrity; moreover, his looks for sins to reprove and amend should, like charity, begin at home. As Bradder Gardner says: "Who has a human deliverer, who is lame somewhat, you have found a man to let alone; he am too good."

To believe that Liszt was a libertine argues ignorance of the constituents of such a wretch; and the bold assertion that he was one insults common sense and fairness. Music is addressed to the *feelings* of all listeners; and those exceptional beings who seal up their hearts and endeavor to judge of its merit and power by their heads only, are musical dyspepsics—unmusical—and therefore, "let no such men be trusted" as fair critics. *All stirring music is good*, after its kind; just as all palatable food, of endless variety, is good. "Everything is good, in its place, but is *dirty* when out of place," is a saying that defines the only true position of music and its broadly artistic catholic devotees. Exclusiveness is a result of either contracted taste or observation and experience, or of a determination—based upon inability—of a segment of dilettanteism to keep strictly within its own circle or microcosm, under a pretence of ability to enjoy, with paramount unction, music that is purely mathematical, tuneless and *dead*—as the music of the future.

They are the counterparts of another class of bigots, those who cling to the forms and dogmas of religion most tenaciously when they have lost its essence. Music, in itself, is perfect purity. It cannot even be stained by association with words nor concepts. But the which has been identified with secular festivities is thereby unfitted for sacred use, by reason of the incongruous associations that cling to it. Every emotion, from gravest to gayest, is stirred, at times, in sacred as well as secular proceedings; and one who has observed and experienced the other to that beyond. Had the music of the "Danube" waltzes been composed for and exclusively used with words of religious jubilation, it would be very effective sacred music; now, it is not and never can be. All secular music is, of course, outside of true art, when properly toned and regulated; and the prudent, male or female, that incontinently denounces them is to my mind—infeebly despicable. "Music cannot make us more prudent nor practical. She neither teaches ethics nor appeals to reason, our principles nor our honors; but she can make us more romantic in our careworn; and that is what is wanted in these money-grabbing times, more than anything else."

As an earnest seeker of truth and consistency, I cannot shut a more *obvious* incongruity than the *pure*, ethical character of *most* of *every* kind of *music*—*where it is not sacred*—and the *unethical* character of *many* of *every* kind of *music*—*where it is not sacred*.—*There is no such thing as a purely ethical music. Even "sacred" music is not purely ethical.*

as applied to music, conveys but vague significance, and the naive definition, "it is profound music moving slowly," is not eccentric. For instance, "Nearer, my God, to Thee," is a pretty close copy of "March to the Battle-field," a Scotch war song, five centuries old. I will cite one more illustration: that well-known air, danced as a reel and sung to that very hit-or-miss song, "Molly, put the Kettle on," but metamorphosed, by Madame Malibran, into a very pathetic—not to say ethical—Andante Cantabile Aria, with Italian text.

When a composer devotes and adapts music to words, his whole being may be permeated with the sentiments that he would inject into that very hit-or-miss song, neither in their pitch, power nor duration. But, in the face of the obvious impossibility of imparting intrinsic corruption to music by verbal association, a species of musical omnipotence is ascribed to Liszt by a recent allegation that he *could and did*, inoculate permutations of God's scale of sound with the virus of impurity, by writing or improving *instrumental* music, impregnated and suffused with ethical, depraved and *sensual* qualities, power and influence! Now, by the double-faced Janus, and paradoxical rant of his opponents, he is made to speak in the help of others: First, Albert Bentzen ("Le Charivari," 1886, writes of Liszt: "He lives frugally, although rich and generous. He is benevolent and amiable, but resentful of aristocratic presumption. He speaks every language of civilization. He copies all his music himself; and his compositions are so perfect, that no one needs correction. His writing is, at once, fine, free, running and fantastic!" Such an indefatigable worker is in contradiction to both rhapsodist and libertine! Second witness: Henri Heine wrote of him, in 1850: "It is a significant fact, that one of the poets of Europe, ever speaks or writes of Liszt with indifference. He has, especially in Paris, zealous enemies, as well as the most enthusiastic friends and admirers. Without positive force one can excite neither admiration nor hostility. It takes fire to kindle either love or hatred. What speaks best for Liszt is the entire silence of him which his opponents recognize his *personal* worth. He is a man of eccentric, but of noble, unselfish character, and with nothing false. His intellectual tendencies are, in the highest degree, remarkable; he has a great turn for men's speculation, and even more for their criticism of his art, do investigations of the different schools interest him, which occupy themselves with research and solution of the great heaven and earth-embracing questions." Liszt was then in the prime of his manhood, and such lofty pursuits were stringently preclusive of libertinism, and of the extravagance of his later years. The artist, he disregarded "the supremacy of the moral." (?) Regarding the other implied allegation, of his wanton (?) defiance of "equally imperative intellectual requirements" in musical composition, I may observe that, primarily, there is but one scale of sound, the major, and but one harmonic combination, the triad, or, in common chord, the quadruple, or chord of four notes. All other keys or scales, relationship of keys and harmonies or chords, are of man's *creation* by derivation, suggested and *permitted* by the two half steps, inserted by the Creator into His scale of sound; and which are the source of all that is beautiful and difficult in musical art. Confronting this simple and *true* "nature of things," it is sheer dogmatism to aver that "in all true art the intellectual requirements are imperative," although, *per se*, a truism, its application is adjustable to each case, and its truth, but its "time immemorialness" is seen, though dimly, in the context, which is, or seems to be, that composers must not be full-fledged individuals, nor revel in the wide latitudes attached by God to his simple fundamental laws of sound; but must work *strictly* under the *strictly* fixed laws of the Creator's mind. Whose human mind? No two human minds are constituted alike. Ergo—the presumption is that there must be a communistic leveling—a Procrustean dwarfing, to a servile observance of "the inextinguishable" technical formulae evolved and rigidly fixed by the primeval dividers and sturdy contrapuntists for their followers, all along the line of "law and license," as far up as Beethoven, but *not* one step *beyond that point*.

Berlioz writes, interrogatively: "Is there a great master who does not let what he will?" Who is there that still believes in the infallibility of his own rules, except some timid gentlemen, who are liable to be frightened at the shadows cast by their own noses?" The witches in Macbeth are right: "Fair is foul (to some) and foul fair (to others)."

In all the arts and sciences, in *every* branch of education, there are certain laws, rules and precepts that must be prescribed. These are taught and enforced with the first rudiments of learning; and without these there can be no knowledge of principles. Of such, are grammar in literature, and music in music. These and their like, are invariable; but a lucid distinction must be made between the rules of science and the rules of art. Science depends, *unexceptionably and inevitably*, upon immutable dogma, which are the rules of truth ascertained by accurate observation and test, from which there is no possibility of appeal nor departure. Judgment

alone is here exercised; there is nothing left to the imagination. In the art of the contralto, Liszt's *art* is largely apply, much is left to the fancy of *intellectual conception*.

The man who servilely follows prescribed rules in art will be little known in his own times, and wholly unknown to posterity. Rameau says: "The utmost that can be achieved by a strict observance of rules in the art of music is to save a man from being a crude musician and to hinder genius." Mozart said: "Melody is the very essence of music," but, unlike literary artists, he had a very sterile field of suggestive melodic composition to read up in; for, fine melodic music was in its infancy, and he had to go up to, and sit time. Beethoven—the chief exponent of the bold, commanding and impetuous in music—said: "Music should have vitality in every note; it should strike fire from the hearts of men and tears from the eyes of women." In reply to the carrying strictures of a dogmatic formalist in art, he said: "I cannot cut out and chisel my work, and adapt it to the demands of fashion. The new, the original and the result of mere human agency!" A more emphatic, clearest declaration of independence, and of superiority to rigid formalism is inconceivable. And yet, who has ever cast the sin of "rhapsodist" at him, in stating his own position in musical art, he also clearly defines that of Liszt, who has been so stigmatized! But what is a rhapsodist, anyway? The term is indigenous to literature, not an alien to music, and it is not to be kidnapped, temporarily, for a specific purpose. Musical compositions are named very much as children are, and, in most cases, in a way as little indicative of their natures. Generic titles of pieces are, in some degree, indicative of the character of the music, springing from the hearts of the people—fantasy, capriccio, capricious in form and melody, and hence suggestive of rhapsody, as diversity of tone in orchestra is suggestive of color. So the grand, wonderful and huge paraphrases which Liszt built on such themes, he names *rhapsodies*.

When a musician borrows a term from literature, his mental application of it should be relatively apposite. In conformity with this rational requirement, the term rhapsodist, posing as a musical title, could be of small use to highly cultured musicians, since it could only apply to uneducated performers of the extemporaneous musical mendicant; and to slightly trained musical ranters, such as the "singing school boys" of the country. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon chords, seeking, also, the most unforeseen orchestral combinations; his scores are prodigious, and it requires a special kind of speech to speak to the contrary. Hence, to call Liszt a rhapsodist is very straining to even the insult of epithet. Vientzian writes of him, from Paris, March 10th, 1868: "As he intends to remain some time in Paris, Liszt is busy rehearsing his 'Missa' by 'Jeanne' and 'symphonies' and 'symphonies' and eleven others, the shortest of which is much longer than 'Les Huguenots.' His system of composition is often the negation of real melody; he piles chords upon

To my friend Chas. Mac Mullin.

POLISH DANCE N° 2.

Giocoso.

Norman W. H. Schafer.

The musical score is written for piano and bass. It begins with a treble and bass staff. The key signature has two sharps (F# and C#), and the time signature is 3/4. The tempo is marked 'Giocoso'. The score is divided into five systems. The first system starts with a piano (p) dynamic. The second system includes a mezzo-forte (mf) dynamic. The third system includes a fortissimo (ff) dynamic. The fourth system includes a fortissimo (ff) dynamic. The fifth system includes a fortissimo (ff) dynamic and a rallentando (rall.) marking. The score includes various musical notations such as notes, rests, accidentals, and dynamic markings like 'p' (piano), 'ff' (fortissimo), and 'rall.' (rallentando). Fingerings and articulation marks are also present throughout the piece.




a tempo

Meno mosso.

rall. *a tempo* *mf*

rall. e dim.

a tempo primo



BUTTERFLY.

(PAPILLON.)

FOGLIO D'ALBUM N° 4.

LUCA FUMAGALLI.
Op. 120.

a piacere

pp 6 6 6 3 2 *piu p*




p *piu p* *pp*






Allegretto con eleganza.



sempre leggiero e delicato

p *dim. assai* *p*

calando







SONATINA.

Edited by J. C. Fillmore.

① **Phrase.**

(i) **Section.**

Period.

Aloys Schmitt.

Op. 14. N^o 4.

Andante moderato. ♩. = 54

Andante moderato. ♩ = 54

Op. 10, No. 1

Frédéric Chopin

First system: *p*

Second system: *p*, *f*

Third system: *cresc.*, *f*

Fourth system: *f*

Fifth system: *f*

Transition.

Repetition.



PUBLISHED BY

CHRISTMAS EVE REVERIE.

(THE GOOD OLD TIMES.)

GUSTAV LANGE.

Lento e molto tranquillo.

mf *cres.*

piu f

cres.

mf *dim.* *rit. poco.*

a tempo.

p *mf*

cres. sempre.

mf *cres.*

f

dim. *p*

tranquilla. *morendo.*

pp

Pod.

The musical score is written for piano and consists of six systems of two staves each. The key signature has one flat (B-flat). The tempo is marked 'a tempo.' at the beginning. The score includes various dynamic markings: *p* (piano), *mf* (mezzo-forte), *cres. sempre.* (crescendo sempre), *mf*, *cres.*, *f* (forte), *dim.* (diminuendo), *p*, *tranquilla.* (tranquillo), *morendo.* (morendo), and *pp* (pianissimo). The piece concludes with a double bar line and a final chord marked *pp*. There is a 'Pod.' marking below the first staff of the final system.

COMPLETE COPY

PAUL and VIRGINIA

ACT I. OS

COMPOSED BY

Chas. D'Asbert.

AIR. SI
LEUR
S. M. FANGE
Heureuse

PAUL ET VIRGINIE

GALOP

CHARLES D'ALBERT.

2d time cap. 8va.

"AINSI LEUR ENFANCE HEUREUSE."

llegiero.

p

dolce

p

mf

p

mf

ff

1st.

2d.

p

Fino

dolce. *cres.*

tr *ff* *tr*

tr *tr* *tr*

THE MUSICIAN:

GUIDE FOR PIANOFORTE STUDENTS.

SIXTH GRADE.

"Only when the form grows clear to you, will the spirit become so too."—SCHUMANN.

It is recorded of CARISSIMI, a mighty master of music during the earlier half of the seventeenth century, that when praised for the grace and ease of his melodies, he cried out,—
"Ah, with what difficulty is that ease acquired!"

Our study of the pianoforte music analysed in the five preceding Grades of 'The Musician' has been one long-continued commentary upon, and illustration of, this text. Beginning with the earlier pieces of SCHUMANN's 'Album,' which contain a few simple periods only, we came next to pieces containing a few groups of periods; from these we passed to the rondo, where one period gains a prominence over all others; to the first movement, where two periods are specially important, and where the structure is altogether more elaborate; until, in the fifth Grade, we reached the fugue, that most elaborate and scientific of all forms, the home of every learned device, of every ingenious fancy.

I

2

THE MUSICIAN.

From first to last, in simple period as in florid figure, the truth has ever been pressed home to our minds that beauty is not a thing of chance, a momentary flash or inspiration; but must be wooed and won by tedious toil and by patient perseverance; that the charm of a musical thought, as of a precious stone, depends not merely on its intrinsic worth, but, in almost equal degree, on the skill and fitness of its setting and surrounding: beautiful in itself, it gains new beauty by association and contrast with others. Not on the composer who heedlessly pours forth his wealth of melody in a turbulent torrent is our most fervent admiration, our deepest and tenderest love, bestowed; but on him who, in the crucible of criticism, subjects the precious ore to fiery test, renewed again and again, until at length it flows forth, a pure stream of thrice-refined gold.

We have divided the history of pianoforte music into three periods, each period extending roughly over half-a-century.

i. The first classical period, 1700—1750, when music was essentially polyphonic—i.e., all the voice-parts were equally melodious, no one part being more important than another.

ii. The second classical period, 1750—1800, when music was homophonic—i.e., the melody was confined to a single voice or part, the others forming an accompaniment. This may also be described as the period of the development of the sonata.

iii. The romantic period, 1800—1850, characterised by a tendency to give entire freedom to the imaginative and emotional side of human nature (v. 16).

CARISSIMI, who brought to perfection the newly-invented

as a connecting link between the two periods, and as, before all others, the forerunner of a still greater master. In listening to the recitative, the melody, the chorus of CARISSIMI, we hear distinctly the approaching footsteps of HANDEL.

We are not here directly concerned with CARISSIMI, as the form he adopted was the *cantata*, something sung, as distinguished from the *sonata*, something sounded or played upon an instrument. The latter owed its origin probably to the increasing difficulty of the madrigals and other vocal compositions of the seventeenth century, which led to the custom of accompanying the voices by viols; this would naturally, in course of time, suggest the use of instruments alone. At first the sonata seems to have been only a single movement, gradually developing until it took final shape under the hands of HAYDN and MOZART. Theirs was pre-eminently the era of 'form,' when form was delighted in for its own sake, sometimes almost without reference to the beauty of the thought expressed. With BEETHOVEN and writers of the later school, the thought came to be all-important; in cases where the form interfered with it, form rather than thought had to give way. Let us not, however, on this account undervalue the importance of formal beauty. Even SCHUMANN, chief among composers of the romantic school, insists strenuously on the necessity of understanding well the form, if we would enter into the spirit, of music.

In the modern acceptance of the word, a *sonata* is a composition for either one or two instruments. It consists of two, three, or four separate pieces, called movements, whose keys bear a certain relation to each other. A closer degree of unity is sometimes attained by letting one musical idea, varied in form and spirit, pervade the entire work. The first movement is written in the form known as first-move-

I—2

4

THE MUSICIAN.

ment form. The sonata was developed from the old *suite de pièces*, a collection of dance-tunes. One of these old-fashioned tunes, the minuet, has to a great extent retained its position, an example being found in the majority of sonatas. In the suite all the movements were in the same key.

Classical music may be defined as that in which the thoughts, beautiful in themselves, are also beautifully treated. But the term classical has two other meanings. It is employed to characterise compositions which, after considerable lapse of time, are universally accepted as standard works of art. It is also employed to characterise the period of form as distinguished from that of romance and feeling.

For the benefit of those who have not worked through them, it is necessary to recapitulate briefly some of the definitions given in previous Grades.

Notes in music are grouped into sentences, longer or shorter, just as words in a book. This must be constantly borne in mind during the study and practice of music, in order to acquire an intelligent conception and rendering of the composer's meaning.

A musical period is marked off by a complete break or division, such as would be indicated by a full-stop in a book. A section ends with a less complete or half break, corresponding to a colon or semicolon; a phrase, with a very slight or quarter break, corresponding to a comma. A figure (or motive) is smaller even than a phrase; is, in fact, the shortest complete idea in music. Were a figure divided there

into sections, two or more; these again into phrases or subsections, which may in their turn contain several figures or motives.

Rhythm is the regular effect produced by the arrangement of notes in measures, and of measures in groups of varying lengths, the most usual being four-measure rhythm. Thus in the first movement of BEETHOVEN's sonata in E \flat (p. 13) each measure contains four crotchets (or their equivalent), and the measures are as a rule in groups of four. The regularity thus obtained is rendered more apparent by the definite, formal endings to the various periods. **Form** in music refers to the skilful arrangement of periods, one balancing or contrasting with another. This will be easily understood by reference to the plan on page 22, where the first and second subjects 'return' in the fourth and fifth divisions respectively. A subject is an important sentence, recurring several times in the course of a movement. A **tributary subject** is one following a principal subject in the same key. A **coda** is a passage added on to mark more clearly the end of a movement or division.

The chief forms in which music is written are the **song**, **rondo** and **first-movement**. A piece in **simple song-form** contains a few simple periods only:—e.g., Part I. of the movement analysed at page 13 would, taken by itself, be a composition in simple song-form, containing six four-measure periods. In **extended song-form** each of these becomes a group of periods or 'part'; a frequent form being—first part, second part, repetition of first part, coda:—e.g., the movement just referred to. A **rondo** contains one principal subject, which returns several times in the course of the piece. The passages that intervene between the different entries of the principal subject are called **episodes** (see analysis on page 15).

THE MUSICIAN.

A first movement has five divisions, thus:—

- Div. I. First subject, in key of movement.
- Div. II. Second subject, in key of dominant.
- Div. III. Working-out, fantasia, or free part.
- Div. IV. Return of first subject, in key of movement.
- Div. V. Return of second subject, in key of movement, instead of dominant as before.

With **first movements** in the minor the most usual key for second subject is the relative major. At the return, the second subject may appear either in the key of the movement, when its intervals would need alteration, so as to change it from a major to a minor subject; or in the major key of the tonic, in which case no alteration is needed.

This outline or skeleton may be amplified indefinitely:—e.g., either first or second subject may have one or more tributaries; there may be an introduction to second subject; the second division may end with a coda, as may also the entire movement. In the **third division**, themes or portions of themes, previously heard, are treated after various musical devices, and presented in such changing disguises as the composer's fancy may suggest. From this the division takes its name of **working-out**. The utmost freedom as regards choice of key is also here allowable, which explains the secondary title of *fantasia*, or *free part*. A **first movement** is distinguished from a **rondo** by containing two principal subjects and a working-out. To illustrate this compare the first-movement plan on page 22 with that of the rondo on page 11.

A **half-tone** is the interval from any key on the pianoforte to that next it, whether black or white. A **tone** is two half-

An **interval** is the difference of pitch between two notes. Intervals are reckoned upwards, and named according to the number of letters they contain:—e.g., from C to E must always be a third, whether the C or E be natural, sharp, or flat. There are, however, different sorts of thirds, fifths, etc., distinguished by the number of semitones they contain.

Intervals, with number of semitones.

- Seconds. Minor (1), major (2), augmented (3).
- Thirds. Diminished (2), minor (3), major (4).
- Fourths. Diminished (4), perfect (5), augmented (6).
- Fifths. Diminished (6), perfect (7), augmented (8).
- Sixths. Minor (8), major (9), augmented (10).
- Sevenths. Diminished (9), minor (10), major (11).
- Octave. Perfect (12).

i.e., three perfect, four major, four minor, four augmented and four diminished intervals.

In a major key (p. 8), reckoning from the tonic to each note of the key in succession, all the intervals are either perfect or major. The term **minor** signifies a half-tone less than major; **diminished**, a half-tone less than minor, or less than perfect; **augmented**, a half-tone more than major, or more than perfect.

A **chord** is the sounding together of two or more notes, producing harmony. A **concord** is an interval or chord which sounds well in itself and satisfies the ear. A **discord** requires special treatment, must be quitted, and often approached, in a particular manner (iv. 84).*

A **common chord** contains bass-note, third, and perfect

* References are occasionally given to previous Grades for students who seek fuller explanation of any particular point.

THE MUSICIAN.

fifth. In a **major common chord** the third is major, in a **minor common chord**, minor.

In an **inversion** of a chord one of the upper notes becomes the bass. The first inversion of a common chord has, the third for bass; it contains bass-note, third and sixth, and is therefore called a chord of the sixth. The second inversion has the fifth for bass; it contains bass-note, fourth and sixth, and is therefore called a chord of the six-four.

A **chord of the seventh** is a common chord, with the seventh from the bass-note added. Containing three notes besides the bass-note it has, of course, three inversions; the second is, however, not always available.

The **inversions of a chord of the seventh** are as follows:—first, with bass-note, third, fifth and sixth—i.e. chord of the 6-5-3; second, with bass-note, third, fourth and sixth—i.e. chord of the 6-4-3; third, with bass-note, second, fourth and sixth—i.e. chord of the 6-4-2.

A **chord of the ninth** is formed by adding a major or minor ninth to a chord of the seventh. In the inversions the root is omitted. A **chord of the diminished seventh** is the first inversion of a minor ninth; its root is therefore a major third below the bass-note.

The **root** of an inversion is the bass-note of the original chord. Therefore the root of a first inversion is a third below the bass-note; of a second inversion, a fifth below it; of a third inversion, a seventh below.

A **key** is a certain arrangement of notes with regard to the **key-note**, that being the one from which all others are reckoned. In every major key the notes must be so arranged as to give a half-tone between the third and fourth, and between the seventh and eighth, from the

between the second and third, fifth and sixth, seventh and eighth, from the key-note. The intervals of the third and sixth from the key-note are major in a major, minor in a minor key.

Diatonic means belonging to the key; **chromatic**, not belonging to the key. A **chromatic chord** is a chord which contains one note or more foreign to the key. The key-note is called also the **tonic**; the second of the key, the **supertonic**; the third, the **mediant**; the fourth, the **subdominant**; the fifth, the **dominant**; the sixth, the **superdominant** or **submediant**; the seventh, the **leading-note**. The **leading-note** must be a half-tone below the key-note. This necessitates, in minor keys, the raising of the seventh by an accidental sharp or natural. This raised seventh distinguishes the minor from its relative major. **Relative major and minor keys** are those having the same signature. **Modulation** is the passing from one key to another: the most usual modulation is into the dominant.

Tonality signifies the relationship of notes to the key-note or tonic.

In every major key are five common chords; three major, falling on tonic, subdominant and dominant; two minor, on supertonic and superdominant. There is a first inversion on every note of the key. In every minor key are four common chords; two minor, falling on tonic and subdominant; two major, on dominant and superdominant. A first inversion can be used on every note except the dominant. In both major and minor keys three second inversions are used; *viz.*, those on the dominant, tonic and supertonic—their roots being respectively the tonic, subdominant and dominant.

A **cadence** or **close** is the end of a musical sentence. In

serve as a model for future analyses. Chords must be considered always in connexion with the key in which they occur, an I not merely as independent chords.

Notes.	Intervals.	Roots.	Chords.
E, C \sharp , B	1, 3, 5	E	Major common chord on tonic.
C \sharp , B, E	1, 3, 6	E	First inversion of tonic chord.
A, C \sharp , E, F \sharp	1, 3, 5, 6	—	Chord of added sixth on subdominant.
A \sharp , C \sharp , E, F \sharp	1, 3, 5, 6	F \sharp	First inversion supertonic chromatic seventh.

In the rondo, presto ($\text{♩} = 116$) number measures 4, 8, 13, 22, 25, 29, 33, 40, 56, 75, 84, 88, 90, 98, 107, 113, 128, 153, 171, 180, 184, 190, 201, 216; as the first is less than a half-measure it must not be reckoned as 1.

A distinction is made between a rondo and a piece in rondo-form. In the former the principal subject is rounded off, and separated from the context, by a full-close; in the latter it has a less definite ending, and is united more closely with what follows.

Subject.	1—4.	Principal subject, in E minor.
	4—8.	Same as 1—4.
	8—33.	Continuation, in E minor.
First episode.	33—40.	Passage, leading to
	40—56.	Second subject in G (relative major).
	56—75.	The same (varied and extended), in G.
Subject.	75—84.	Passage, leading to
	84—88.	Second entry of subject, in E minor.

a full-close, or perfect cadence, the sentence ends with the chord of the key-note preceded by that of the dominant; in a half-close, or imperfect cadence, with that of the dominant; in an interrupted close, or deceptive cadence, with the chord of the dominant, followed by some chord other than that of the tonic; in a plagal cadence, or church close, with the chord of the tonic, preceded by that of the subdominant (iv. 81).

ANDANTE and RONDO CAPRICCIOSO in E, Op. 14.

MENDELSSOHN (1809—1847).

The andante ($\text{♩} = 60$) serves only as a short prelude to the rondo, and is in simple song-form. Mark on the music measures 4, 8, 12, 15, 22, 26, each with its proper number.

- 1—4. Introduction.
- 4—8. Four-bar period, in E.
- 8—12. Four-bar period, in E and B.
- 12—21. Passages, leading to
- 22—24. Incomplete return of first period.
- 25—26. Arpeggios, leading to rondo.

Certain chromatic discords may be used in any major or minor key: the roots from which these are derived are the tonic, supertonic and dominant. The second chord in measure 2 is an example of one of these discords. The notes are—A \sharp , C \sharp , E, F \sharp —*i.e.*, bass-note, third, fifth and sixth. It is therefore the first inversion of a chord of the seventh (p. 8), and the root is F \sharp , a third below the bass-note and supertonic of the key. The following plan shows the

Second episode.	88—113.	Passages, leading to
	113—128.	Return of second subject, in E major.
	128—171.	Third subject, in E major.
Subject.	171—180.	Passage, leading to
	180—184.	Third entry of subject, in E minor.
	184—216.	Coda, in E minor.

This plan shows that the piece is in rondo-form, and not strictly a rondo, the principal subject being a short phrase, closely connected with the matter which follows. In the continuation is a passage in G, 13—22, which returns in E, 98—107. The short octave-passage at the end of the second subject appears in lengthened form, and in E minor, in the coda. The third subject is much longer than the others, and is in itself a piece in simple song-form, having a return of its first period at 153.

The free treatment of form which later composers have allowed themselves is shown in the variations made in the second subject, and in the introduction of the third subject after the return of second. It would have been more usual for the third subject to follow the second entry of principal subject, and to be followed in its turn by a third entry of principal subject, which would precede the return of second subject.

1. Distinguish between a rondo and a piece in rondo-form.
2. What is a discord? (p. 7).
3. Give the three meanings of the term classical, as applied to music.
4. How is a phrase distinguished from a period? (p. 4).

SONATA in E \flat , Op. 27, No. 1.BEETHOVEN
(1770-1827).

- Andante, in E \flat (♩ = 88).
 Allegro molto e vivace, in C minor (♩ = 108).
 Adagio con espressione, in A \flat (♩ = 66).
 Allegro vivace, in E \flat (♩ = 126).

Like its companion in C \sharp minor, the 'Moonlight,' this bears the title, 'Sonata quasi una Fantasia.' They were both written in 1801, and published in the following year. The term *fantasia* (*whim, fancy, or freak*) has been variously employed in music; its use here indicates that the composer has allowed himself a greater measure of freedom than is consistent with the title sonata, notably as regards the form of the first movement. In the sonata in C \sharp minor we found indeed some traces of orthodox first-movement form (v. 87), here there is absolutely none; and in fact it most resembles an improvisation or sketch thrown off at random. The only sonata before this with irregular first movement is that in A \flat , Op. 26, which begins with an Air with variations. The present movement is in extended song-form. Mark measures 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 40, 44, 48, 52, 56, 62, 70, 78, 86, each with its proper number.

- Part I. 1-4. }
 5-8. } Four-measure periods, in E \flat .
 8-12. }
 12-16. } Four-measure periods, in C, F minor,
 16-20. } and E \flat .
 20-38. } Varied form of 1-8.
 Part II. 36-44. } Eight-measure period, in C (with close
 ni G).
 44-52. } Eight-measure period, in C.
 52-62. } Varied repetition of 44-52, leading to

- Part III. 63-78. Varied form of 1-8.
 78-86. Coda, in E \flat .

The movement might have been analysed as a rondo, treating 1-8 as the principal subject; but 8-20 so entirely resembles 1-8 in character and form that a better idea is gained by using extended song-form. The second Part, marked *allegro* (♩ = 84), seems at first sight out of sympathy with the *andante*. In a *fantasia*, however, strong contrasts and abrupt transitions are appropriate, the music responding to the ever-varying mood of the composer. Strict attention to the *pianos* makes the *allegro* a light, playful movement, not by any means a noisy one; and the fact that the *andante* is in *alla breve* time indicates for it a quicker rate of movement than an ordinary *andante*, two bars really forming one (iv. 28, 37): the two are not therefore so opposed in character as they at first appear.

1. What is the difference between a rondo and a first movement? (p. 6).
2. What is a fantasia?
3. Analyse the chords in 1-4 (for plan see p. 11).

The second movement, though not so entitled, is an example of the *scherzo* and *trio* which in BEETHOVEN'S works replaced the old-fashioned minuet and trio, being in the same form, but in quicker time. It should be accented as if in 6-4 time, beginning with a half-measure.

For the *adagio* BEETHOVEN chooses what was, with HAYDN and MOZART, the orthodox key—i.e., the subdominant, that being one of the keys most closely related to the tonic. It furnishes, in its first four measures, an example of a *pedal-point*—i.e., a note sustained in one part while the other parts

note does not belong. For this purpose, two notes are available, the tonic and dominant; when used together they constitute a *double-pedal*. Here the A \flat is a tonic pedal, as there are some chords of which it does not form a part,—e.g., the second and fifth. Sometimes, instead of one note, a short figure is repeated constantly in one part; this is called a *florid* or *figured pedal*. The second chord furnishes an example of *suspension*—i.e., a note of one chord held over another to which it does not belong, and afterwards resolved on a note of the second chord. Here the C of the first chord is held over the second, with which it forms a discord, and then resolved on B, a note of the second chord. In *syncopation* a note is sounded at the weak part and held over the next strong part of the measure, but no discord is formed. The *adagio* must be regarded as a prelude to the finale, as a lengthened *cadenza* connects the two, and the theme of the *adagio* reappears, in E \flat , in the final coda.

The last movement is in the rondo-form which resembles a first movement by containing a working-out. Were the second entry of principal subject omitted the form would be that of a first movement. Number measures 8, 16, 24, 35, 56, 72, 82, 89, 97, 106, 118, 131, 135, 139, 167, 174, 182, 190, 203, 224, 240, 255, 256, 266, 285.

- Subject. 1-8. Principal subject, in E \flat .
 9-24. Continuation, in E \flat .
 First episode. 24-35. Introduction to
 35-56. Second subject, in B \flat (dominant).
 56-72. Tributary, in B \flat .
 72-81. Passage, leading to
 Subject. 82-89. Second entry of subject, in E \flat .
 90-97. Continuation, in E \flat .

- Second episode. 98-106. Passage, leading to
 106-139. Working-out.
 139-166. Passage, leading to
 Subject. 167-174. Third entry of subject, in E \flat .
 175-190. Continuation, in E \flat .
 Third episode. 190-203. Introduction to
 203-224. Return of second subject, in E \flat .
 224-240. Tributary, in E \flat .
 240-255. Passage, leading to
 Coda. 256-265. Entry of theme of *adagio*, in E \flat .
 266-285. Presto, in E \flat .

The continuation of first subject is played twice; at its second entry, once; at its third entry, twice, but in varied form. The passage, 24-35, affords an interesting example of thematic treatment. Its opening six-note figure is taken from the first subject, and becomes, first a four-eighth-note, then a two-eighth figure. The working-out is entirely founded on the first subject; at 135-139 the two-eighth figure just alluded to appears again, having previously been heard in longer notes, 131-134. The passage 139-166 is founded on the four-eighth figure; the repeated notes do not form *pedal-points*, as they are essential to the harmony. The *presto* in the coda is founded on the two quarter-notes of first subject.

1. What is a pedal-point? Which notes can be used to form it?
2. Who invented the *Scherzo*? (p. 14).
3. What movement did it replace? How did it differ from that movement?
4. What is a figure? (p. 4).

Letters to Teachers.

BY W. S. B. MATHEWS.

"Will you please tell me whether there is any rule about what is called 'rubato'? My own musical feeling leads me to vary the time in many of the pieces I play, from such writers as Chopin, Schumann, etc.; but my teacher tells me not to do this. Is there any rule, or any principle that I can follow?" Mrs. J. E. S.

Nothing appertaining to musical expression is more abused than this deviation from strict time, commonly called "rubato." The word means "stolen," and rubato is time given to one note at the expense of some other. The common evils in this practice are two: The rubato is wrongly made, and in the wrong places. Perhaps there are no rules which an unmusical player can follow and be sure of making a rubato in a manner which, if not positively helpful, will at least not be offensive to the musical sense, as so many attempted rubatos are. The following is the nearest I can come at present to defining the principle which underlies this matter: Observe, first, that before you *deviate* from the time, there must be a *time* to deviate from. Hence the first thing to do in a piece is to *establish the time*—that is, to play a period or more in the time you intend the whole piece to go in. Time is the measure of every fact in music. My old friend, Mr. B. F. Baker, says rather ambiguously that "time has nothing to do with music." But in making this statement, it is evident that he limits the meaning of the term "music," in his own mind, at least, to harmony and melody. In this sense, time is outside of music, and accessory to these deeper elements, which certainly constitute the greater part of its fabric. But a little attention to the manner in which music affects us will show that, so far from time being outside of music, it has the very greatest connection with it. The same melody delivered in one tempo affects us in one way; in a different tempo it affects us differently. A fast tempo conveys the idea of hurry; a slow one the idea of leisure, if not of sustained music.

The first caution to be observed in the matter of rubato is that the relative value of the notes in the measure must not be interfered with to an extent sufficient to leave the hearer in any doubt as to the composer's intention. This rule is often violated by young players. Pieces are occasionally played, the time of which I cannot understand at all until I have required the pupil to count it aloud. In order that I may know what she does. In the second place, all music is made up of larger rhythms, of four, eight, sixteen measures, and the like. The time of these larger rhythms goes on like that of the measure itself. The hearer, if musical, anticipates the larger accents and waits for bringing out these larger symmetries of form, and in most cases has taken care to provide for the accentuation of them by the use of different chords or other devices, which, even if unmindful of these larger relations, the player cannot well overlook. But the rubato comes in, and the best-laid schemes of a composer are knocked a-ga-gone before it. The rhythm is spun out, and the accent interfered with to a degree beyond the ability of the ear to account for. Even in this modern music of Schumann and the composers who have followed him, in writing accompaniments in syncopations and off-beats, it is necessary to guard against undue rubato. In fact, the use of this mode of expression frequently has the effect of rendering this music so thoroughly uncomfortable that nobody can take pleasure in it. In many cases where a piece of this kind sounds restless and unsatisfactory, it is rendered still more so by simply playing it in time and with a good measure accent.

In all the larger music of the classical writers, whether for piano or for other instruments, the innermost bond of unity is the measure pulsation. Even in complex forms, like the sonata, there is often a fixed unit of pulsation that goes through all the movements of the work. I do not remember whether it was in THE ETUDE that I have already cited Beethoven's Sonata Pathétique, in which there is a unit of time at the rate of about 66 in a minute. In the introduction these are represented by eighth notes; in the Allegro by half notes; in the Adagio by eighth notes; and in the Finale, again, by half notes. The rondo does not go well in this tempo; nearly every body plays it faster, but I fancy that this was as rapid as they played it in Beethoven's day. It is the same with other pieces of Beethoven's: there is a regular unit of time through nearly all the movements of a sonata. Even if there is not a regular rate of pulsation running through several movements in succession, the unit of the movement itself is the most important element in its unity, and, in consequence, the unit of the work. The elements of unity are superimposed. Whoever compares the steadiness of time in the playing of an orchestra under a good director with the playing of the average solo pianist, will understand that orchestral directors, at least, have very different ideas of rhythm from those which prevail among solo pianists.

Now, the fundamental restriction in the use of rubato is that it be so used as not to destroy the apparent regu-

larity of the time. Just as soon as a rubato becomes apparent, it loses half its force. Indeed, it is said that Chopin directed the rubato to be made in the melody only, the accompaniment meanwhile going on like the Kapellmeister, as he expressed it. I have been in the habit of laying down a rule, which, like all rules in music, is of limited validity only. It is this: that the sum of the accelerations must equal the sum of the retards; in other words, that every ritardando must be balanced against a compensating accelerando. The rule is a parody upon the rule in surveying, that "the sum of the eastings must equal the sum of the westings." The rule in music is of value only as a general suggestion, but at bottom there is a principle. The fundamental rhythm of the piece must go on in such a way that the hearer who does not care to linger upon deep groupings of notes, and whose rhythm may go on and finish the piece in his own mind at the very same moment as the playing finishes it. I once had a pupil who had caught the true method of rubato so exactly that she insisted that the metronome itself waited for her. She said that when she played a sonata she ritardando just as she pleased, and that at the end she was not only with the beat of the pendulum, but also with the measure bell, which, of course, would not have been the case if she had lost a beat, or any number of beats, not computable in even measures. The fact was that her ritardandos were compensated by corresponding accelerandos, so that the movement as a whole was right and just. Hence I offer the following cautions in the application of deviations from true time in playing:—

1. The apparent time of the measure must not be disturbed. The notes within the measure must still have sufficiently near their true value that the listener is left in no doubt as to the intention.

2. Accelerandos must be compensated by ritards, and ritards by accelerandos, to such a degree as to leave the larger rhythms of the piece in true relation.

3. In pieces where the accompaniment is much richer and where syncopations abound, and the time is thereby difficult to make out, the movement must be carefully preserved. Rubatos must be made with great care, for a syncopation is not intelligible as a syncopation until there is a measure-rhythm for it to "cut in" upon.

As a rule, the accompaniment must keep good time, in most cases strict time.

There are many concert players who might observe these rules to their own advantage and to the comfort of their hearers. Liszt's Polonaise in E major is a piece particularly well suited to this well-known rule, and is by judiciously playing it out of time. The first two pages, and I might almost say the whole piece, need good time and the accent upon the fifth beat of the six in the measure in order to render them intelligible. The greater sonatas of Beethoven, and even the apparently fantastic pieces of Schumann, stand out more clearly in their intelligibility by the observance of a time strict enough to afford reposeful rhythm.

Scholium.—A steady rhythm and a reliable accent are the foundations of intelligibility and repose in playing.

What do you really think of the new notation of music proposed by Mr. De Bryant in THE ETUDE for March?—W. B. F.

Concerning musical notation, there are two opposite opinions: All who learn late in life think it unnecessarily complex; mature musicians, on the other hand, think it easy enough, and exactly suited to the demands of modern music. My own position upon this subject may be the result of custom and education. It is that our usual staff notation possesses a greater variety of advantages in representing the combinations of modern instrumental music than it would be possible to secure by any other collection of signs and characters. When you consider the complexity with which modern eye takes in and unravels a complicated score, with transposition clefs, unusual harmonies, and the like, you are obliged to admit that the substitution of numerals, for instance, or note-heads of significant forms, would impose upon the reader an additional mental labor, thereby rendering the reading more difficult rather than easier. There are three radical principles of coordinating pitch-signs in the mind: One is by referring them to absolute pitch, the others for chords, and their "place in key." The numerical notation proposed at the first time is complete in both respects. It recognizes tonality, and limits the signs to seven in the octave—the diatonic tones of the key; when chromatic tones or modulations are wanted, new signs are added.

The new notation proposed by a correspondent in the previous issue proceeds upon a false assumption as to the mental processes involved in reading music. When his attention is called to the fact that a musician reads chords by the positions and distances of the notes, and not by a conscious reading of the whole line, still less by such a graphic study of them as would be necessary in deciphering the pitch-forms proposed by our correspondent, he will, no doubt, reply that the proposed system leaves it possible to do this; but add, for the benefit of the less expert, a named set of signs for the chords, and the system in ordinary system of education, the difficulty which this notation is intended to obviate would not arise. Nineteenth of the so-called difficulty of "reading

music" is really a difficulty of "thinking music;" just as soon as musical combinations, relations, and coordinations are clearly apprehended by the mind, the notation-signs indicating them become plain. This fact has been demonstrated so variously in my teaching experience that I cannot doubt it.

If musical study were to begin where for many reasons it ought to begin, namely, with singing in childhood, and if in the beginning an easy notation were employed giving only the simplest and most elementary of tone-coordinations, the emphasis might be put where it belongs—upon *tone-relations*, and not upon the signs representing them. For this purpose the "Tonic Sol-fa" affords precisely the instrument required, and in addition to it the best apparatus of primary music-teaching which has as yet been elaborated by anybody. When children are taught to hear music and to phrase their melodies as Mr. Tomlins teaches them to do it (as illustrated in the Chicago May Festivals, and the Sangerfest last year), they have a foundation of musical consciousness, upon which it is an easy matter to make good readers and intelligent musicians.

Many people suppose that the Tonic Sol-fa notation is one of many systems for simplifying musical signs by leaving out the most of them. This is true with an important reservation. The Sol-fa deliberately limits itself to a general notation of key-relationship and elementary tone-relations, and leaves out the complicated signs proposed for a musical notation proposed to the French Academy by J. Rousseau, August 22d, 1742. (See Vol. 15, Rousseau's Works.) As might have been anticipated from Rousseau's cleverness, combined with his superficial knowledge of music, the system was extremely incomplete. He used Arabic numerals 1, 2, 3, 4, etc., for tone-names, according to their place in key; higher octaves were indicated by a dot placed over the first figure of the passage in the higher octave, the figures themselves all standing upon the same level. Lower octaves were indicated by a dot below; sharps by a stroke through the figure, in an ascending incline; flats by an oblique stroke descending; time-places by a general appointment of measure space. The so-called "One-line System" of Day and Beall, current in some of the colleges of the country thirty-eight years ago, was an improvement upon Rousseau's system. The Tonic Sol-fa has the essential part of it, of which the device for representing a modulatory transition by means of two figures combined (the one giving the place of the junction-tone in the old key, the other in the new) is not the least curious. The Tonic Sol-fa is a system of notation permitting accurate notation of accidentals; it is entitled to the credit of extreme simplicity, and of uniting a generalized expression of musical combinations with an easily ascertainable, or transferable localization of them. The chord-relations of the Tonic Sol-fa are indicated by a key-sign to place it wherever we please; without the key-sign it is purely a generalized formula, like the algebraic $x + y = c$, where the magnitude of x and y depends upon the value assigned to c .

As to kindergarten teaching, I think that nothing is to be added to the suggestions of old Father Wick, in his "Piano and Song." Tone-thinking and tone-producing go first; then, tone-representing. It is the old story of "the thing before the sign." My personal opinion is, that if the teacher were clever enough, a pupil of ten years old, or less, would be better not to come to the staff-notation until after a year's lesson. I would use the Tonic Sol-fa, or none at all. The former has the advantage of such extreme simplicity as to be much the same as no notation at all, in so far as difficulty of learning is concerned. With older beginners I am equally careful of the hearing and playing skill preceding the study of talking precedes reading and writing. Nor do I think it in place to deal so much in theory as some writers seem to require in the earlier grades—Sedley Taylor, for example, and Ridley Prentice. But whether much or little, the rule here is that the child should be able to make combinations and coordinations before names and signs of them. One thing at a time."

The difficulty of reading music will be greatly lessened by more careful teaching at the beginning. For instance, I have been accustomed to proceed by the following steps:

Step First: To train the eye to recognize the upward and downward progression of melody and place upon the staff.

Preliminary: Teach that a note with a line passing through it is said to be "upon a line," a note written out a line passing through it is said to be "upon a space."

Teach the numerical names of the lines and spaces. After the necessary instruction, the pupil reads: "The first note is upon the third space; second note, upward, to the fourth space; third note, downward, to the third space; bar; upward to fourth line; upward to fifth line; downward to fourth space; downward to third space."

Step Second: To recognize intervals and letter-names of pitch. Preliminary: Teach the letter-names and the positions upon the staff. Then, after the necessary following, the pupil is at liberty to assist himself by the fingers upon the keyboard.

Pupil: "Third space, C; ascend a second to D; up a second to E; down a third to C; up a second to D; up a second to F; down a second to E; down a second to C."

Third Step: To recognize time-values and measure. Preliminary: Teach one, or, by ear, measure and tonalities required in this passage. Then pupil analyzes.

Pupil: "Half-note, two units of time. It begins at 'one' and ends at 'three.'" (Note.—It is very important that a pupil should understand from the beginning that the time of ending a note is just as important as the time of beginning it.) Quarter note, one unit; begins at three, ends at one. Bar." (Teach that the bar shows the beginning of a new measure, and, consequently, the accent.) "Half-note, two units; begins at one, ends at three. Quarter note, one unit; begins at three, ends at one, etc., until last measure. Dotted half-note, three units; begins at one, ends at one of next measure."

Fourth Step: Unite all these, as the following for the last passage:—

Pupil: "C, third space: half-note, two units; one to three. Up a second to D, quarter note, one unit. Bar. Up a second to D, half-note, two units; down a third to C, quarter-note, one unit. Bar," etc.

This mode of ascertaining whether or not the pupil thinks clearly must be resumed whenever a new element of difficulty is introduced.

In regard to the supposed difficulty of reading music, the experience of the English Sol-faists teaches that when one has mastered musical combinations, he learns the staff-notation very easily, and understands it afterward, which is what very few of our singers do.

Questions and Answers.

QUES.—Will you please answer the following in THE ETUDE? I recently heard a vocal music lesson given to a class of teachers, and the rule was that in singing the final "B" should never be sounded—"ever" should be pronounced "evh," and "A" in singing should always have the grave sound—A. I asked the instructor for his authority for the latter rule. She replied that for every one said so; she never heard anything else. As I never heard any such rule before, will you please pass judgment upon the above rulings?—E. C. D.

ANS.—The teacher was quite near the truth. Had the rule been stated "the final 'r' should never be *trilled*," it would have better conveyed the meaning. "R" before a vowel is, by well-bred speakers, *trilled*. After a vowel it is not *trilled*. B says: "When the tongue is so placed as just to feel the passing stream of air, *not yield to it*, we have the condition of the final 'r'. The aperture for the emission of the voice is so free that the vowel quality of the sound is scarcely, if at all, affected. The formation of this sound (Bell considers the untrilled 'r' a vowel) differs but slightly from that of the vowel 'u' in 'urn'."

Regarding the sound of "a," always giving it the grave sound, it is well to reiterate that the correct speech sound must be employed in singing. No change of vowel to accommodate the imperfectly-trained throat is permissible.

QUES.—Can you furnish me with the name of a set of studies for high voice (in execution) that would be for contralto what Lamperti's Bravura studies are for soprano?—A. B.

ANS.—Panofka's Opus 87 (Leipzig), Concones Opus 17, are perhaps the best. More florid ones may be transposed lower by the teacher.

QUES.—Will you please give me in the columns of THE ETUDE the pronunciation of the word *Venite* (the name of the first chant in the prayer-book)? I want to know whether the second syllable has the long sound of "i" or of "e." Please give the authority for the pronunciation.—B. T.

ANS.—Both pronunciations are correct. *Venite* is a Latin word, and two ways of pronouncing Latin are in vogue—the English and the Continental. According to the former (which is endorsed by both Cambridge and Oxford Universities), the "i" is long, like that letter in the word "time"; in all other than English-speaking countries it would be pronounced "Ve-ne-to." Many of the colleges, and, perhaps, most of the orchestras, in this country use the Continental pronunciation, "Ve-ne-to." To be consistent, if one so pronounces it, he should call the hymn following the First Lesson (the Te Deum Lauda mus) in the Service, "Te Deo-mu La-da-mus." Singularly, there is fashion in the pronunciation of Latin. A few years ago the tendency in America was toward the Continental manner; now it is toward the English.

QUES.—What is counterpoint? Is it possible to study

harmony without a teacher? If so, would you kindly recommend a work on that subject?

ANS.—Counterpoint is the art of melodic invention. Harmony is the succession of chords as to their formation and succession. It regards a piece of music as consisting of a succession of chords which are associated with each other according to the principles of what we call quality.

Counterpoint regards a piece as a combination of voice-parts moving simultaneously,—such as soprano, alto, tenor and bass, each having its own melody, and in most varieties of counterpoint a different rhythm.

It is possible to learn a great deal about harmony and counterpoint from books, but to comprehend them thoroughly is only to be accomplished by writing exercises under the supervision of a competent teacher. The two essential points are a great amount of practice and the necessary amount of correction and supplementary instruction.

It is possible to pursue the study of harmony by mail advantageously; and a suitable teacher for this purpose (and a better could not be desired) would be Mr. Fillmore, of Milwaukee.—W. S. B. M.

QUES.—Several of my pupils have what they call "double-jointed thumbs," by which is meant thumbs that bend inward at the second joint, thus extending the structural defect deprives the hand of all force in octaves and chords, and seems to render clearness and rapidity in such passages impossible. Is there any remedy?—E. D.

ANS.—This so-called defect is simply a looseness of the tendons and muscles. All that is necessary to remedy it is the practice of exercises calling into action the flexor muscles, until they become so much stronger than the others as to resist this inward doubling of the joints. The Mason "two-finger exercises" with the elastic touch, played in double sixth, is one of the best for this purpose.—W. S. B. M.

QUES.—1. From what is the word Technique derived, and who first used it in its present sense?

2. Where can I obtain a complete list of requirements for degrees in the American College of Musicians?—STUDENT.

ANS.—1. The word Technique is the French form of the English word Technic, which is nothing else than the Greek word meaning art or trade. The English form of the word was not used until the scientific discussion since the time of Locke. The French form our correspondent can look up for himself at his leisure. The present meaning of the term in musical discussion is a little foggy in consequence of the progress that has been made in every branch of music since the word first began to be used. Many speak of technique in piano playing as if it had reference to fluency of fingers only. In reality, however, there are as many different kinds of technic in piano playing as there are different styles. There is the technic of finger work, the technic of touch, the octave technic, arm technic and finally mental technic. Properly speaking, the word technic includes all those parts of piano playing which can be described and accurately taught.

2. Send to the publisher of THE ETUDE for the prospectus of the American College of Musicians.—W. S. B. M.

QUES.—Will you please give me, through THE ETUDE, or otherwise, an explanation of the *double sharp* (or *double flat*). For instance: If I have F double sharp to be played on G natural, why can't it be written G natural and not F double sharp?—M. H. E.

ANS.—You cannot write G natural for F double sharp without the grossest violation of the rules of harmony. This question was answered in last issue.

QUES.—I have a question to ask, but do not know whether it is of sufficient general interest to demand space in the "Question and Answer column" of THE ETUDE. It is this: How is the minuet of Haydn's fourth sonata, for piano and violin (Pet. ed.), to be played? The directions are: "Dieses Minuetto wird beim Da Capo rückwärts gespielt." Does it really mean to play backward note by note? As it stands there is no cadence, and the movement ends on the chord of the dominant. It can be played backward, but it goes without saying that it is troublesome to read in that way. I have asked two or three good violinists about it, but none of them can explain it. If you can solve the problem for me, it will be a great favor.—M. L. A.

ANS.—This minuet is designed to be played either forward or backward. The construction and direction, both German and Italian, indicate this.

QUES.—What difference is there between the methods of fingering taught at the Leipzig Conservatory and the N. E. Conservatory of Boston?—N. A. M.

ANS.—At the Leipzig Conservatory the hammer-like stroke is taught. The point strived for is great strength and individuality of finger, regardless of the fact that too

much of this kind of practice gives a hard, dry tone. There is no one method of technic taught at the N. E. Conservatory. Where so many teachers of prominence are gathered together in one school, it is simply out of the question that there should be uniformity of methods, as every man of any individuality is bound to have ideas of teaching peculiarly his own, and hence it comes that the principal piano-teachers of the N. E. Conservatory, viz.: Messrs. Carl Faessler, A. D. Turner, Otto Bendix, Gerlie Petersen, J. C. F. Parker and Louis Mass, in part ideas which in no two cases agree, etc., referring particularly to the position of the hands and fingers, and general movement of the same in playing.

QUES.—Please give your opinion as to the way pianists are controlled by the piano manufacturers, and oblige. I have heard that the pretense that this or that artist prefers the piano he plays is all humbug, and is intended to deceive the public, and, in fact, also, that some pianists of merit are kept from public performance by the intrigues of piano manufacturers because they cannot be made to subserve their own selfish ends. Is this true?—A.

ANS.—It is commonly understood that most concert pianists are paid by the manufacturers to use the pianos they play. Probably very few people are deceived into supposing that the artist necessarily *prefers* the piano that he happens to be playing to all others. There are several good concert pianos, and there is no reason why a manufacturer should not pay an artist for exhibiting the good qualities of his instrument, nor why an artist should not accept pay for such a purpose. Using a *bad* piano out of courtesy, or out of vanity, is another matter. But this seldom happens because artists care more for their reputation and their art than for money. I have no knowledge of artists being prevented from playing in public by manufacturers, but they have certainly given many hundreds of piano recitals which could not have been given without their help. It is fortunate, both for artists and public, that piano makers find it for their interest to pay artists for giving recitals.—J. C. F.

Please answer the following questions in the next ETUDE:—

QUES.—(1) What is a harmonic degree, and why is the sub-mediant called a chord of the third degree?

(2) What is meant by proximate voices? Give an example.

(3) In the examination in piano-forte technic of the A. B. M., are candidates *allowed* to be played with the metronome before the examiners?

(4) Is the transposition exercise to be transposed at the piano or written?—KNOWLEDGE SEEKER.

ANS.—(1) I do not know what work on Harmony you can have been using. I have never seen the term "harmonic degree." It is customary to speak of degrees of the *staff* and degrees of the *scale*. The sub-mediant, as the term is commonly used, means the *sixth* degree of the *scale*. It is called the chord of the sixth of the scale.

(2) Proximate voices must mean voices that are next to each other, like the soprano and alto or alto and tenor. Questions 3, 4 and 5 should be sent to one of the examiners—say, Dr. Wm. Mason, of Orange, N. J. It is safe to say, however, that you will do well to be able to play your technical exercises in strict metronome time, with or without the metronome. You will probably be required to transpose on the piano at sight.

QUES.—In Hollaender's Canonette, fourth bar, does the accent occur on the third beat (as it, of course, would, were it not bound to the fourth) or on the fourth?

ANS.—Is there any real matter what you call it, but can you recommend for phrasing, one that is concise and yet covers all the necessary ground?—A. B.

ANS.—This slur should have an accent on *both* notes, the heaviest on the *second*. They should be played *strictly legato*, and the quarter note should be held *its full time*. I cannot recommend any full and satisfactory technique on the subject of phrasing. Each phraser has its own kind of interest in this kind of music. It usually coincides with a principal metrical accent. It may be at the beginning, middle or end. Crescendo toward it and diminish from it. You will find some valuable ideas on this subject in Riemann's Comparative Piano-forte School, also from Christiani's work, "Musical Expression."

QUES.—Will you please inform me, through the Questions and Answers column of THE ETUDE, if the finger and wrist gymnastics (without use of piano) for piano students and teachers, published by A. R. Moore, are advisable? I have the Manual, but am not sure of it being just the thing for developing the muscles.—M. E. S.

ANS.—The "Manual" referred to is highly recommended throughout the country. The exercises are scientific in character, and prepare the muscles for every movement involved in piano execution. Suppose you try them a month according to the directions. They will be found "advisable."

[For THE ETUDE.]

WHYS AND WHEREFORES OF MUSIC.

BY H. SHERWOOD VINING.


(Continued from March issue, page 44.)

SECTION III.—MEASURE.

27. *Why bars are used.*—Because by means of bars music is divided into equal portions of time, called measures. All the notes between two bars form one measure. In any piece every measure has the same number of counts, thus:—



28. *Why seven forms of notes are used.*—Because there are seven different time values, as longest, half as long, a quarter as long, an eighth as long, a sixteenth as long, a thirty-second as long, and a sixty-fourth as long. These seven forms appear thus:—



In this order each note is twice as long as the note following—thus from the longest to the shortest note:—



In the following order each note is half as long as the note following—thus from the shortest to the longest:—




29. *Why two figures are used at the beginning of every piece.*—These figures show the number of counts in each measure and how the notes are to be counted. The figures used are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100. The lower figure shows what form of note has one count, and the upper figure shows how many of these fill a measure and thus the number of counts, thus:—




In this example in two-four measure a quarter note has one count; two, or what is equal to two quarter notes fill a measure; and there are two counts or beats in the measure.


30. *Why it can be known how all the notes are counted when it is known what form of note has one count.*—Because it is only to multiply by two to find how the next note is counted. To find the count of a note next longer multiply its count by two. To find how a note next shorter is counted, take twice as many notes for the same count! In 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100. measures a quarter note has one count, and the longer notes are counted, thus:—




For each note next shorter than the quarter note it takes twice as many notes to make one count, thus:—



In 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100. measures an eighth note has one count, and the longer notes are counted thus:—



The shorter notes are counted thus:—




Examples of different measures:—



31. *Why the signs C and C are used.*—Among the ancients a circle O or double C was used as the sign for measures having three counts, because the number three was held to be the most perfect number, and the circle, as the most perfect figure, was considered to fitly represent triple measure. Measures having four counts were called imperfect, and the half circle C was used for this measure, or $\frac{1}{2}$ measure. The half circle was divided once more by a line drawn through it, thus $\frac{1}{4}$, to indicate measure one-half the length of $\frac{1}{2}$ measure or $\frac{1}{4}$ measure.

32. *Why seven different forms of rests are used.*—Because there are seven time values for the rests, or signs of pauses in the music. These signs have the same time value as the notes for which they are named.



Examples of notes and rests.



When in any piece several measures of rests are to be counted, only one measure is written generally, and the number of measures intended is indicated by a figure, or by rest marks placed between lines,



to indicate two or more measures of rests.


Examples of rests for one or more measures:—



33. *Why dots are placed after notes and rests.*—Because a dot is a sign of addition, and used to prolong a note or rest one half its count, which is the same as the note next shorter would add; thus:—



A dotted note has the same number of counts as three notes next shorter; thus:—



A double dot adds to a dotted note half the length of the first dot; thus:—



Dots after rests:—



(To be Continued.)

DETROIT CONSERVATORY OF MUSIC.

THE FACULTY

Consists of well-known instructors, graduates of the most celebrated institutions of musical learning in Europe, including the ROYAL CONSERVATORIES OF LEIPZIG, DRESDEN AND STUTTGART; THE KULLAK AND SCHARWENKA MUSIC SCHOOLS AT BERLIN; THE RAFF CONSERVATORY AT FRANKFURT and the LISZT CLASS AT WEIMAR. Also, personal students with such

EMINENT MASTERS

As MOSCHELES, HAUPT, LISZT, REINCESKE, PLAIDY, KULLAK, RAFF, EHRLICH, MOSZKOWSKI, SCHARWENKA, RAFF, URSPRUCH, MAX SCHWARTZ, E. F. RICHTER, BARGIEL, KIEL, FERD. DAVID, JOACHIM, LEONHARD, ROENTGEN, RAPFOLDI, HEHRMANN, COSSMAN, MARCHESE, STROCKHAUSEN, SAN GIOVANNI, SCHARF, FLEISCH, *et al.*

THE COURSE OF INSTRUCTION

In all branches is systematic and complete, aiming at the HIGHEST STANDARD OF ARTISTIC SKILL and EXCELLENCE. The end sought to be attained is a THOROUGH MUSICAL TRAINING in whichever branch the student enters.

Have abundant opportunities for public performances at the Conservatory Concerts which occur frequently during the season, and to which pupils and their parents are admitted free. Students are fully prepared for Concert playing on all instruments; also for Church, Oratorio and Concert Singing, and for the Operatic stage. Teachers who wish to become familiar with the method of instruction adopted at the Conservatory, and pupils intending to pursue music as a profession, have the privilege, upon application to the director, of attending any of the classes during teaching hours; and every assistance will be rendered to secure lucrative positions to those who have finished a satisfactory course of study.

WEEKLY REHEARSALS

Or ENTERTAINMENTS, are also given, to which only pupils are admitted, and in which pupils of all grades take part, thus affording means of acquiring confidence and self-possession. Pupils can enter any time, although it is desirable to have applications made at or before Term Commencements.

For further information, address

OR

J. Q. ADAMS, Secretary.

J. H. HAHN, Director,


No. 40 Fort Street West.

THE TECHNIPHONE

THE TECHNIPHONE is an instrument with a piano-forte key-board and genuine piano touch, designed as a substitute for the piano in learning the mechanical part or technic of piano playing.

For the easy, certain, almost automatic acquiring of a perfect legato and all grades of staccato, it is as superior to the piano as the fool-rule is superior to the eye in taking exact measurements.

Three months of faithful work on the Techniphone will lay a better foundation and advance the pupil further in acquiring a correct touch—the supreme accomplishment in piano playing—than two years of equally faithful work on the piano alone. This it does through the *novel intensification of return sounds* to the keys, which introduce into all elementary work a clearness and precision never before known.



AUXILIARY TO THE PIANO.

TESTIMONIALS.

N. E. CONSERVATORY OF MUSIC, Boston, February 4th, 1887.
Knowledge, quick perceptive faculties and a strong will form the basis of technical proficiency. Repetition is the secret of absolute certainty in the outward manifestation of knowledge; but constant repetition is apt to stunt the sensibilities and dull the wit, where one is confined to the piano-forte.
Silent key-board practice, such as the Techniphone gives, not only does away with the evil, but it also strengthens the reasoning faculties, improves the understanding, and enlarges one's executive possibilities.
The Techniphone has my sincere endorsement.

A. D. TURNER.

HERBERT SCHOOL OF MUSIC, CHICAGO.
I earnestly advise the use of the Techniphone by all teachers and students of the piano and organ.

CLARENCE EDDY.

CHICAGO, November 10, 1885.
I experience now the benefit of my five months' practice on it with splendid results.

FREDERICK BOSCOVITZ.

STEINWAY HALL, NEW YORK.
It is the best means I ever had at my disposal for teaching the piano correctly and thoroughly.

A. R. PARSONS.

STEINWAY HALL, NEW YORK, February 2, 1886.
The Techniphone is much superior to all other things of the kind. I think every pianist ought to have one.

619 H. St., N. W., WASHINGTON, D. C., March 17th, 1887.
I am delighted with the Techniphone, and feel that no music cannot be said in its praise. It possesses all the qualities you claim for it, and if properly used, cannot fail to insure a clear, firm technique. To the student who wishes to pursue the study of music, despite the countless interruptions of a drawing-room, I consider it a veritable boon. What student of the Piano has not sighed for a silent instrument in some distant part of the house, where repetition could be gone through without the fear of annoying the family? The Techniphone meets this difficulty, and many others. Wishing you every success,
Respectfully,

VIRGINIA CLARE.

CHICKERING HALL, NEW YORK, Sept. 28, 1886.
After sixteen months' constant use of the Techniphone, I am pleased to say that it has proved of the greatest value to me in many ways, chief among which is the reduction of the time I have to give to practice for purely technical facility and accuracy, to fully one-half. I find, also, that as much is stronger, firmer, and more elastic, while the performance of a better program of music is much less fatiguing than it did before I commenced using it. I would not be deprived of it for any financial consideration. In fact, I find it indispensable.

JULIE RYAN-KING.

THE TECHNIPHONE CO.,

No. 7 West 14th Street, New York.

LYON & HEALY, Chicago.